International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Sustainability
Volume 5, Print Issue 2 - 2023, Pg. No. 18-29
Peer Reviewed Journal

Review Article

# The Importance of Birds in Ecotoxicological Tests: A Review

Shahnawaz Ahmed

Research Scientist, Department of Ecotoxicology, Institute for Industrial Research and Toxicology, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

## I N F O

**Corresponding Author:**
Shahnawaz Ahmed, Department of Ecotoxicology, Institute for Industrial Research and Toxicology, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
**E-mail Id:**
shanu160by2@gmail.com
**Orcid Id:**
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8678-4541
**How to cite this article:**
Ahmed S. The Importance of Birds in Ecotoxicological Tests: A Review. *Int J Agri Env Sustain* 2023; 5(2): 18-29.

Date of Submission: 2023-11-12
Date of Acceptance: 2023-12-14

## A B S T R A C T

Birds are essential components of many ecosystems, participating in nutrient cycling, seed dispersal, and pest control. Their susceptibility to environmental toxins makes them ideal sentinels for monitoring ecological health. Avian species respond to pollutants in various ways, exhibiting symptoms ranging from reduced reproductive success to physiological and behavioral alterations. The utilization of birds in ecotoxicological tests allows us to assess the risks posed by contaminants such as pesticides, heavy metals, and pollutants. Their inclusion provides insights into the broader ecological consequences of these substances. Furthermore, birds' position in the food chain makes them ideal models for investigating bioaccumulation and biomagnification. As our planet faces increasing anthropogenic stressors, understanding the effects of contaminants on bird populations is critical. Their presence or absence in an environment can be indicative of the overall health of an ecosystem. Therefore, birds play a vital role in not only identifying environmental threats but also in designing effective mitigation and conservation strategies. In conclusion, the significance of birds in ecotoxicological tests cannot be overstated, as they continue to inform environmental policies and guide efforts to safeguard biodiversity and ecosystem stability.

**Keywords:** Avian ecotoxicology, Bioindicators, Ecosystem monitoring, Methodologies, Genomics Research

## Introduction

Ecotoxicological tests are experiments or assessments conducted to determine the potential harmful effects of substances or environmental stressors on organisms and ecosystems. These tests are vital in evaluating the toxicity of chemicals, pollutants, or other stressors to various organisms, including plants, animals, and microorganisms, as well as their potential impacts on the environment.[1] Environmental assessment tests are crucial tools used to evaluate and understand the impact of human activities on the environment. These tests provide valuable data and insights that help in making informed decisions regarding environmental management, policy formulation, and sustainable development. Breakdown of the significance of environmental assessment tests includes Environmental assessment tests help in identifying potential environmental issues and risks associated with a particular project, activity, or area. By conducting tests like Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), potential risks to air, water, soil, biodiversity, and human health can be recognized and addressed appropriately.[2] Such tests are often mandated by regulatory authorities to ensure compliance with environmental laws and regulations. These tests help organizations and projects meet legal requirements and avoid penalties or legal action.[3] Environmental assessment tests provide a platform for public participation and stakeholder engagement, fostering transparency and inclusivity in decision-making processes. Including the perspectives of various stakeholders enhances the quality and comprehensiveness of the assessment.[4] Findings from environmental assessment tests guide the development of effective mitigation and adaptation strategies to minimize adverse environmental impacts. This promotes sustainable development by integrating environmental considerations into the planning and execution of projects. These tests lay the foundation for long-term environmental monitoring and assessment, allowing for the continuous evaluation of environmental changes and trends over time and is essential for adaptive management and for making necessary adjustments to projects or policies.[5] The process of conducting environmental assessment tests increases public and stakeholder awareness of environmental issues. It fosters an understanding of the importance of sustainable practices and the need to protect the environment.[6] Environmental assessment tests facilitate international cooperation and diplomacy by providing a basis for dialogue and negotiation between countries and organizations. They enable the sharing of best practices and the development of international agreements to address global environmental challenges.[7] By recognizing the significance of environmental assessment tests, policymakers, stakeholders, and the public can work together to ensure a sustainable and environmentally responsible future.[8]

## Role of Birds in Ecotoxicological Studies

Ecotoxicological studies play a crucial role in understanding the impact of pollutants on ecosystems and wildlife. Birds, as sentinel species, have emerged as valuable subjects for such studies due to their sensitivity to environmental changes and their position in the food chain. Birds, particularly avian species such as raptors, waterfowl, and songbirds, are highly sensitive to environmental contaminants. Their unique physiology and behaviors make them excellent indicators of ecological health. Researchers have shown that avian species can accumulate and exhibit adverse effects from various pollutants, including heavy metals, pesticides, and organic chemicals.[9,10] Birds occupy various trophic levels in ecosystems, allowing researchers to study biomagnification processes. Contaminants that enter the food web can accumulate in higher trophic level species, including birds of prey and scavengers.[11,12] This biomagnification phenomenon provides insights into the overall health of ecosystems and the risks posed by specific pollutants. Birds’ ability to migrate across vast distances makes them valuable in studying the transport of pollutants over large geographic scales. Researchers have used avian species to track the movement of contaminants, such as mercury and organochlorines, revealing their global distribution and long-range transport.[13] Studies on the impact of environmental contaminants on birds have direct conservation implications. Findings from ecotoxicological research have influenced policies and management strategies to protect avian species and their habitats.[14]

## Birds as Sentinels of Environmental Health

Birds have long played a significant role as bioindicators in ecotoxicological studies, serving as sentinels of environmental health. These avian species are highly sensitive to changes in their ecosystems, making them invaluable in assessing the impact of pollutants, contaminants, and other stressors on the environment. Birds are highly sensitive to changes in their environment, making them excellent indicators of ecosystem health. They occupy various trophic levels and habitats, allowing researchers to assess the impact of environmental stressors across different ecosystems. For instance, aquatic birds like the common loon (*Gavia simmer)* can accumulate contaminants in their tissues from polluted water bodies, providing insights into the water quality of those ecosystems.[15] One of the distinctive features that make birds valuable bioindicators is their feathers. Feathers are composed of keratin, a protein that can trap and store various environmental pollutants over time. Researchers can analyze feather samples to assess the historical exposure of birds to contaminants, providing a temporal perspective on environmental changes.[16] Studies have used feather analysis to monitor heavy metal exposure in raptors.[17] and pesticides in songbirds.[18]

## Monitoring of Contaminants

Birds have been instrumental in monitoring the presence and effects of various contaminants, such as pesticides, heavy metals, and organic pollutants. For example, the California condor (*Gymnogyps californianus*) has been used to detect lead poisoning from spent ammunition in carrion.[19] Additionally, the use of blood samples from birds has allowed researchers to monitor the levels of organochlorine pesticides, providing insights into the persistence of these compounds in the environment.[20]

## Indicator of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Health

Birds not only provide information about specific contaminants but also serve as indicators of overall biodiversity and ecosystem health. Changes in avian populations, diversity, and behavior can signal broader environmental problems. For example, declines in bird species like the bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) due to DDT contamination in the mid-20th century prompted environmental action that eventually led to the banning of the pesticide.[21]

## Integration into Ecological Risk Assessment

Birds have been integrated into ecological risk assessment models to evaluate the potential harm of contaminants to ecosystems. Models like the Probabilistic Risk Assessment for Threatened and Endangered Species (PRATTS) incorporate avian data to assess the risk of contaminants to birds and other wildlife.[22] This approach helps prioritize conservation efforts and regulatory actions.

## Historical ecotoxicological discoveries

Ecotoxicology is the study of how pollutants and toxic substances affect ecosystems and their inhabitants. Birds, as prominent members of many ecosystems, have played a crucial role in uncovering the impacts of environmental toxins. This article will try to explore historical examples of bird-related ecotoxicological discoveries, shedding light on the importance of avian species in understanding environmental issues.

### DDT Poisoning (1940s - 1960s):

Rachel Carson's groundbreaking book, "Silent Spring" (1962), marked a turning point in the environmental movement. Carson's work brought attention to the devastating effects of the pesticide DDT (dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane) on bird populations, particularly raptors and songbirds. DDT caused thinning of eggshells, leading to reproductive failure in species like the bald eagle and peregrine falcon. Carson's research catalyzed public awareness and eventually led to the banning of DDT in the United States in 1972, illustrating the power of scientific discovery through bird ecotoxicology.[23]

### Mercury Poisoning (1950s)

In Minamata, Japan, a tragic ecotoxicological discovery was made when local residents and birds, particularly the black-crowned night heron, fell victim to mercury poisoning. Industrial discharge of methylmercury into Minamata Bay led to the contamination of fish, a staple in the birds' diet. This poisoning resulted in severe neurological damage and even death in both humans and birds. The incident highlighted the far-reaching consequences of chemical pollution on bird populations and human health.[24]

### Lead Poisoning (1980s - Present)

The California condor, one of the world's most endangered birds, faced a dire threat due to lead poisoning from ingesting spent lead ammunition fragments in carrion. This ecotoxicological issue has been ongoing for decades, with lead poisoning being a significant factor limiting condor population recovery. Research has highlighted the importance of lead-free ammunition and prompted regulatory changes to protect not only condors but other scavenging birds as well.[25]

### PCBs Poisoning (1970s - 1990s):

Ospreys, a top predator in aquatic ecosystems, helped uncover the harmful effects of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Studies showed that osprey populations were declining due to PCB contamination in their food sources, primarily fish. PCBs disrupted their endocrine systems, leading to reproductive failures. Research on ospreys led to stricter regulations on the use and disposal of PCBs, contributing to the protection of both birds and the environment.[26]

## Diverse Ecological Roles of Birds

Bird species diversity plays a vital role in maintaining ecosystem health and functioning. It is a key indicator of the overall ecological balance within a given habitat. Ecotoxicology, the study of how toxic substances affect organisms and ecosystems, has been instrumental in uncovering the various threats that bird populations face. Several significant discoveries and insights from ecotoxicological studies that shed light on the intricate relationship between bird species diversity and environmental contaminants. Numerous studies have linked the use of pesticides to declines in bird species diversity. Organochlorine pesticides, such as DDT, have been associated with eggshell thinning in birds, leading to reduced reproductive success.[27] The banning of DDT in the United States in 1972 resulted in a remarkable recovery of several bird species, including the Bald Eagle and the Peregrine Falcon.[28] Climate change significantly impacts bird habitats, leading to shifts in their distribution and migration patterns.[29] Provided insights into climate change effects on bird species diversity, urging for conservation efforts to mitigate the adverse consequences. Heavy metals like lead and mercury pose significant threats to bird species diversity. Lead poisoning, primarily from ingesting lead ammunition or fishing tackle, has been responsible for the decline of various waterfowl and scavenger species.[30] Similarly, mercury contamination in aquatic ecosystems can lead to bioaccumulation in fish, which is then consumed by birds, causing mercury-related health issues and population declines.[31] Endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) can interfere with hormonal systems in birds, leading to altered behavior and reproduction. For example, exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) has been associated with feminized behavior in male birds, impacting their mating success and ultimately affecting species diversity.[32]

## Foraging behavior and habitat preferences

Understanding the foraging behavior and habitat preferences of birds is crucial for the conservation and management of avian species and their habitats. Moreover, the incorporation of ecotoxicological studies in bird research is indispensable for comprehending the threats posed by environmental contaminants to these feathered creatures.[33] Foraging behavior encompasses the strategies and tactics that birds employ to find, capture, and consume food. It is influenced by a myriad of factors, including diet specialization, beak morphology, and ecological niches. Birds have evolved various foraging strategies, such as territorial foraging, flock foraging, and opportunistic foraging, to exploit diverse food resources. These behaviors are not only species-specific but can also be influenced by external factors, including habitat availability and environmental changes.[34] Habitat preferences are integral to a bird's survival and reproduction. Different bird species exhibit distinct habitat preferences based on factors like nesting requirements, food availability, and predation risks.[35] Wetlands, forests, grasslands, and urban areas are examples of diverse bird habitats. Understanding these preferences is essential for habitat management and conservation efforts, as alterations to preferred habitats can significantly impact bird populations.

### Ecotoxicological Studies

Birds are particularly susceptible to the adverse effects of pollutants due to their position in the food chain, migration patterns, and specific physiological characteristics. Pesticides, heavy metals, and chemicals from industrial processes can accumulate in birds' bodies, leading to reproductive failures, immune system suppression, and even population declines. Birds often serve as bioindicators of environmental health. Changes in bird populations can signal broader ecological issues, making them crucial indicators of the state of ecosystems.[36]

### Trophic Levels in Birds

Trophic levels represent the positions that organisms occupy in a food chain or web, indicating their feeding relationships and energy flow. Birds can be found at various trophic levels, with distinct dietary preferences: Birds that primarily consume plants, such as seed-eating species like finches and sparrows, are essential for maintaining vegetation and nutrient cycling. Waterfowl, such as ducks and geese, feed on aquatic plants and algae, contributing to wetland ecosystem stability. Many birds, including warblers and flycatchers, feed on insects, regulating insect populations and preventing outbreaks that can damage crops. Birds of prey, like eagles and hawks, occupy the top trophic levels, controlling populations of other animals and influencing the structure of ecosystems.[34]

### Food Web Dynamics

Birds are key components of food webs, and their interactions have far-reaching consequences for ecosystem dynamics Predatory birds help regulate prey populations, preventing overpopulation that can lead to resource depletion and imbalances in ecosystems.[37] Some birds, such as vultures, play vital roles in cleaning up carrion, preventing the spread of disease and nutrient recycling.[38] The presence or absence of certain bird species can trigger trophic cascades, affecting plant abundance and diversity.[39]

## Key Ecotoxicological Tests Involving Birds

Birds are excellent indicators of environmental health due to their wide distribution, varied diets, and ease of sampling. Studies can assess the exposure of birds to contaminants and provide insights into the overall state of ecosystems.[14] Birds can accumulate pollutants in their tissues, which can lead to detrimental effects on their health and reproductive success. Studying bioaccumulation helps identify the pathways and sources of contaminants in ecosystems.[11] Ecotoxicological research elucidates how contaminants move through food webs, affecting not only birds but also the organisms they interact with. This knowledge is crucial for understanding ecosystem responses to pollution.[40] The findings of ecotoxicological studies inform conservation efforts by identifying at-risk bird species and guiding measures to mitigate the effects of contaminants.[41] Birds often share habitats with humans and can serve as sentinel species, providing early warning signs of environmental contamination.[42] Understanding how toxins affect birds can indirectly help protect human health by identifying and mitigating potential exposure risks.[43]

### Acute Toxicity and Chronic Toxicity Tests

Acute toxicity tests involve exposing birds to a high concentration of a toxic substance for a short duration, typically 96 hours, and assessing their immediate response. These tests provide crucial data for risk assessment and regulatory decision-making.[44] Acute toxicity tests help determine the lethal concentration (LC50) of a substance for a specific bird species. LC50 values are essential for establishing safe exposure levels and setting regulatory limits for various contaminants.[45] In the event of chemical spills or accidental poisonings, understanding acute toxicity is critical for rapid response and mitigating the impact on bird populations.[46] Chronic toxicity tests involve exposing birds to lower concentrations of a toxic substance over an extended period, usually several weeks to months. These tests provide insights into the long-term effects of pollutants.[47] Chronic toxicity tests reveal the sub-lethal effects of contaminants, such as reproductive impairment, developmental abnormalities, and immunosuppression. These sub-lethal effects can have profound impacts on bird populations over time.[48] Regulatory Compliance: Chronic toxicity data are essential for regulatory agencies to establish guidelines that protect birds from chronic exposure to hazardous substances, ensuring the long-term health of avian populations.[49]

**Lethal Effects in Birds:** Lethal effects, such as direct mortality resulting from exposure to toxic substances, are a clear and immediate concern. They can lead to population declines, as demonstrated in studies like[50] that attribute avian population losses to pesticide exposure. Birds often serve as indicator species in ecotoxicological studies due to their sensitivity to environmental changes. Documented cases of lethal effects in birds, such as the impact of oil spills on seabirds[51] underscore their value in assessing ecosystem health. Lethal effects data can inform environmental policies and regulations. For instance, studies on lead poisoning in waterfowl have influenced the banning of lead ammunition in certain regions.[52]

**Sublethal Effects in Birds:** Sublethal effects, such as reduced reproductive success, can have profound implications. Research by[53] on endocrine-disrupting chemicals highlights how sublethal impacts can hinder the ability of birds to reproduce successfully. Sublethal effects may manifest as behavioral changes, impacting foraging, migration, and predator avoidance. Studies by[54] on urbanization effects on bird behavior illustrate this phenomenon. Sublethal effects can have cascading effects on ecosystems over time. For example, impaired immune function in birds due to exposure to contaminants can result in increased disease susceptibility, potentially altering predator-prey dynamics.[55]

**Effects of Contaminants on Reproductive Success:** Birds can be exposed to contaminants through ingestion of contaminated food, water, or direct exposure to polluted habitats. These contaminants can disrupt the hormonal systems of birds, leading to reduced reproductive success.[56] Declines in reproductive success can have cascading effects on bird populations and entire ecosystems. Ecotoxicological studies help us understand how contaminants can lead to population declines and altered community dynamics.[57] Ecotoxicological studies provide data for long-term monitoring of contaminant levels and their effects on birds, allowing for the assessment of trends and the effectiveness of mitigation measures.[58] Data from ecotoxicological studies can inform policy decisions and conservation efforts aimed at reducing contaminant exposure and protecting bird populations.[59]

**Biomarker and bioaccumulation studies:** Birds play a crucial role in our ecosystems, contributing to pollination, seed dispersal, and insect control. They also serve as sentinel species in ecotoxicological studies, helping us monitor the health of ecosystems. This article explores the significance of ecotoxicological studies in birds with a focus on biomarker and bioaccumulation studies. Through a review of relevant research, we will highlight the critical role birds play in understanding the impacts of environmental contaminants. Biomarkers are measurable indicators that reflect an organism's exposure to and effects from environmental contaminants. In birds, various biomarkers are used to assess the health of individuals and populations Hematological parameters like red blood cell count, hemoglobin concentration, and hematocrit levels can reveal the impact of contaminants on bird health.[60] Enzymes such as acetylcholinesterase and glutathione-S-transferase can indicate exposure to pesticides and the bird's ability to detoxify these chemicals.[61] DNA damage and mutations can be assessed through techniques like the comet assay, revealing genotoxic effects of contaminants.[62] Hormone disruption caused by contaminants can affect reproductive success. Measuring hormone levels helps assess these impacts.[63] Bioaccumulation refers to the accumulation of contaminants in an organism over time. Birds can accumulate contaminants through their diet, leading to various adverse effects, Birds can accumulate heavy metals like lead, mercury, and cadmium, which can lead to neurotoxicity, reproductive impairment, and mortality[64] such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides can bio accumulate in birds, affecting immune function and reproduction.[11]

**Pesticides, heavy metals, and pollutants:** Ecotoxicology is a crucial field of study that examines the adverse effects of pollutants on ecosystems and organisms. Birds, being an integral part of many ecosystems, are often exposed to various environmental contaminants, including pesticides, heavy metals, and pollutants. Understanding the impact of these contaminants on birds is essential for assessing their environmental health and biodiversity. In this article, we review case studies focusing on the significance of ecotoxicological investigations in birds exposed to pesticides, heavy metals, and pollutants.[50] Pesticides are widely used in agriculture to control pests and improve crop yields. However, their extensive use has raised concerns about their impact on non-target organisms, including birds. Numerous studies have shown that pesticides can lead to significant adverse effects in birds, including mortality, reproductive impairment, behavioral changes, and immune system alterations. Heavy metals, such as lead, mercury, cadmium, and arsenic, are naturally occurring elements that can become pollutants when released into the environment through industrial and human activities. Birds can be exposed to heavy metals through contaminated food, water, and air. Studies have indicated that exposure to heavy metals can lead to physiological, biochemical, and behavioral alterations in birds.[65] A notable case study by demonstrated the adverse effects of lead exposure on waterfowl, emphasizing the necessity of effective monitoring and management strategies.[66] Air and water pollutants, including chemicals, particulate matter, and other toxic substances, pose a significant threat to bird populations. Birds can ingest or inhale pollutants, leading to respiratory issues, developmental abnormalities, and reproductive impairments. A case study explored by[67] the effects of air pollution on bird populations, highlighting the importance of comprehensive ecotoxicological assessments to understand the impact of pollutants on avian health and ecosystems.

**Regulatory significance of bird-based ecotoxicological tests:** Bird-based ecotoxicological tests play a crucial role in the field of ecotoxicology, providing valuable insights into the impact of environmental contaminants on avian species. These studies are of significant regulatory importance and contribute to our understanding of the broader ecological consequences of pollutants. Bird-based ecotoxicological tests are essential for assessing the toxicity of various environmental contaminants, including pesticides, heavy metals, and industrial chemicals, to avian populations. These studies help identify potential risks to birds and their ecosystems.[48] They are often considered indicator species for environmental health due to their sensitivity to contaminants and their position in the food chain. Ecotoxicological studies in birds can reveal early signs of environmental problems that may affect other wildlife and even humans.[50] Many regulatory agencies, such as the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the United States and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) in Europe, require ecotoxicological data on birds to assess the safety of chemicals before approving their use.[68] Bird-based ecotoxicological tests not only evaluate the direct toxicity of contaminants but also examine their effects on reproduction, behavior, and population dynamics. Understanding these factors is crucial for making informed conservation and management decisions.[69] Birds play a vital role in maintaining ecological balance by controlling insect populations and serving as pollinators. Ecotoxicological studies help ensure the continued well-being of avian species, which, in turn, contributes to ecosystem stability.[70]

## Methodologies and Ethical Considerations

### Captive vs. wild bird studies

Captive and wild bird studies play crucial roles in ecotoxicological research. Captive studies offer controlled environments, facilitating the isolation of specific variables. They provide valuable data on the effects of contaminants under controlled conditions, aiding in dose-response assessments and mechanistic understanding. Examples include the use of birds like zebra finches in controlled experiments.[71] Conversely, wild bird studies provide insights into real-world exposure scenarios. They enable researchers to assess the ecological and population-level impacts of contaminants. Wild birds can serve as indicators of ecosystem health and contaminant distribution, exemplified in studies on raptors and waterfowl. Ethical considerations are paramount, with captive studies needing stringent animal welfare measures, and wild studies requiring ethical handling and minimal disturbance.[72] The choice between captive and wild studies depends on research objectives and ethical responsibilities, as both approaches contribute essential data for a comprehensive understanding of ecotoxicological impacts.[73]

### Compliance with animal welfare regulations

To ensure the ethical treatment of birds and compliance with animal welfare regulations, several methodologies and considerations are essential such as Ethical guidelines require researchers to use humane and non-invasive methods for capturing and handling birds. This includes minimizing stress, using appropriate equipment, and obtaining permits for research involving wild bird species, adhering to national and international wildlife protection laws. Researchers must collect samples such as blood, feathers, or tissues with minimal harm to the birds, ensuring that they are not exposed to unnecessary risks.[72,74] Proper training in sample collection techniques is vital. In studies that require captive birds, maintaining appropriate housing conditions is essential. Compliance with regulations governing housing and care for research animals is paramount to ensure their well-being.[75] If birds are temporarily held in captivity, they should be released in suitable habitats once the study is completed. Post-release monitoring should be conducted to assess their health and behavior, ensuring minimal impact on their survival. Researchers should publish their findings to contribute to the collective knowledge while being transparent about their methodologies, adhering to research ethics and integrity.[76] By following these ethical considerations and complying with animal welfare regulations, researchers can carry out ecotoxicological studies involving birds in a responsible and humane manner. Failure to do so not only harm individual birds but also undermines the scientific value and ethical standing of the research. Such compliance ensures that ecotoxicological studies provide reliable insights into the impact of environmental contaminants while safeguarding avian welfare.

## Advancements in Bird-Based Ecotoxicological Research

### Emerging technologies and tools

Emerging technologies and tools have significantly advanced bird-based ecotoxicological research, enhancing our understanding of the impact of pollutants on avian populations and ecosystems. One notable advancement is the integration of molecular techniques, such as genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, which allow for a comprehensive assessment of the molecular and biochemical responses of birds to toxic substances.[77] These approaches provide valuable insights into the mechanisms of toxicity and help identify specific biomarkers of exposure and effects. Remote sensing technologies, including drones and satellite imagery, have revolutionized monitoring and mapping of bird habitats, enabling a broader understanding of the spatial distribution of pollutants and their effects on bird populations.[78] Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and spatial analysis tools enhance data visualization and modeling, aiding in risk assessment and management strategies. Incorporation of stable isotope analysis and telemetry allows tracking of bird movements and their exposure to pollutants across different habitats and regions. This helps in understanding the pathways of contaminant exposure and identifying hotspots of pollution. Furthermore, advancements in analytical chemistry, like high-resolution mass spectrometry and metabolomics profiling, enable accurate detection and quantification of a wide range of contaminants in bird tissues and environmental samples.[79]

### The role of genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics

Advancements in Bird-Based Ecotoxicological Research have been greatly influenced by the integration of genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics. These molecular approaches provide valuable insights into the impact of environmental contaminants on birds at a genetic and biochemical level, enhancing our understanding of ecotoxicological processes.[80] Genomics, the study of an organism's complete set of DNAs, enables researchers to identify specific genes associated with responses to environmental stressors. For instance, identifying genes related to detoxification pathways allows a deeper understanding of a bird's ability to metabolize and eliminate pollutants. Genomic studies have shed light on genetic variations that may influence susceptibility to toxicants, facilitating the assessment of population-level responses to environmental stressors.[81] Transcriptomics involves the study of gene expression patterns in response to environmental exposures. This molecular tool allows researchers to measure the activity of thousands of genes simultaneously, providing a comprehensive view of how an organism responds to toxins. Understanding the changes in gene expression profiles helps elucidate the mechanisms underlying toxicity, stress response, and adaptation in birds exposed to contaminants. Proteomics involves the study of proteins, the functional products of genes. By analyzing the proteome, researchers can identify changes in protein expression, post-translational modifications, and protein-protein interactions triggered by exposure to pollutants. Proteomic analyses provide critical insights into the altered biochemical pathways and cellular processes in birds, helping connect the dots between genetic responses and physiological outcomes.[82]

### Data sharing and collaboration in the field

In recent years, advancements in bird-based ecotoxicological research have been notably influenced by data sharing and collaborative efforts, fostering a comprehensive understanding of the impacts of environmental contaminants on avian species. Data sharing plays a pivotal role by promoting accessibility to diverse datasets, facilitating meta-analyses, and allowing for the integration of findings across studies. This collective approach enhances the statistical power and generalizability of research outcomes. Collaborations between researchers, institutions, and governments have led to the development of standardized protocols for sample collection, analysis, and reporting. Open-access repositories and databases, such as the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), Bird CLEAN[83], and the European Union Reference Laboratory for Halogenated POPs, enable researchers to share their data globally. These platforms not only enhance transparency and reproducibility but also encourage the synthesis of knowledge across different ecological and geographical contexts.[84] Furthermore, interdisciplinary collaborations between Eco toxicologists, ornithologists, and data scientists have resulted in sophisticated analytical techniques, including machine learning and spatial modeling, to predict contamination patterns and assess potential risks to avian populations. These advancements collectively contribute to a more holistic and informed understanding of the effects of environmental pollutants on birds, aiding in the formulation of effective conservation and management strategies.[85]

## Challenges and Future Directions

### Climate change impacts on bird populations

Climate change is a pressing concern in ecotoxicological studies of bird populations, presenting various challenges and future directions. Rising temperatures, altered precipitation patterns, and habitat loss directly affect bird species, leading to shifts in distribution, migration patterns, and phenology. In response, birds may adapt, but these adaptations can be constrained by their ecological plasticity and genetic diversity.[86] Furthermore, climate change interacts with other stressors, like pollution, pesticides, and habitat fragmentation, exacerbating the challenges birds face. These factors can weaken immune systems and disrupt breeding success, further compromising their survival. Studies have demonstrated that pollutants like pesticides become more toxic under warming conditions, intensifying their impact on avian species.[87] Future directions should emphasize integrated, multidisciplinary approaches that consider the cumulative effects of multiple stressors, acknowledge the importance of refugia and conservation efforts, and assess the potential for evolutionary adaptation in bird populations. Conservation strategies should focus on preserving and restoring habitats, while mitigating the effects of climate change through carbon reduction and sustainability initiatives. Understanding the intricate interactions between climate change and pollutants is essential for developing effective conservation strategies for avian species.[20]

## Emerging contaminants and novel pollutants

In the realm of ecotoxicological studies concerning birds, emerging contaminants and novel pollutants have become a critical focus due to their potential impacts on avian populations. These substances encompass a wide array of chemicals and compounds, including pharmaceuticals, personal care products, industrial byproducts, and even nanomaterials. They pose challenges to researchers and conservationists for several reasons. First, the toxicity of many emerging contaminants is largely unknown, and the effects on birds, their behavior, and reproduction are still being elucidated. This knowledge gap makes it challenging to develop effective mitigation strategies.[88] Second, these contaminants often persist in the environment, accumulating in bird habitats and potentially entering the food chain. This bioaccumulation can lead to chronic exposure, posing threats to not only individual birds but also entire ecosystems. Furthermore, emerging contaminants and novel pollutants may have synergistic or additive effects when combined with traditional pollutants or other emerging substances, compounding the risks.[89] To address these challenges, future research should prioritize the identification and assessment of these contaminants in avian environments. Additionally, a better understanding of their toxicological effects, along with the development of monitoring techniques and regulatory frameworks, will be crucial for the conservation of bird species in the face of these evolving threats. It's essential to stay vigilant and proactive in the field of ecotoxicology to safeguard avian populations from the impacts of emerging contaminants.

## The need for interdisciplinary collaboration

In ecotoxicological studies concerning birds, addressing the complex and evolving challenges requires interdisciplinary collaboration. Integrating expertise from various fields such as biology, toxicology, environmental science, chemistry, and policy is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the impacts of contaminants on avian species. Interdisciplinary collaboration enhances the design of ecotoxicological studies by enabling researchers to consider multiple variables and perspectives simultaneously. Biologists can provide insights into the specific vulnerabilities of bird species, their behavior, and habitats, while toxicologists can offer expertise on the chemical properties and toxic effects of contaminants. Environmental scientists contribute by analyzing exposure pathways and the fate of pollutants in ecosystems, aiding in the identification of potential risks to avian populations.[90] Furthermore, policy experts can help translate research findings into actionable policies and regulations, promoting effective environmental management and conservation strategies. This interdisciplinary approach fosters a more holistic understanding of the ecological implications of contaminants on avian biodiversity and ecosystems.[91]

## Policy and regulatory implications

Current challenges include the need for standardized testing protocols, consideration of mixtures of pollutants, and understanding sublethal effects.[92] Regulatory frameworks should be adaptive and evidence-based, incorporating interdisciplinary research to guide decision-making. Collaboration between researchers, policymakers, and stakeholders is essential to bridge gaps and facilitate effective policies. Future directions should focus on integrating advances in molecular biology, toxicology, and ecological modeling to assess long-term and cumulative effects of pollutants on bird populations.[93] Additionally, addressing emerging contaminants, climate change interactions, and habitat loss is paramount. Dynamic risk assessment strategies should be adopted to account for uncertainties and variability in exposure scenarios. Furthermore, international cooperation and harmonization of regulations will enhance the effectiveness of policies, especially considering migratory birds and global pollutant transport.[94]

# Conclusion

Ecotoxicology, as a discipline, aims to comprehend the impacts of pollutants on organisms and ecosystems. In the case of birds, this involves understanding how various pollutants, such as pesticides, heavy metals, and industrial chemicals, affect their physiology, behavior, reproduction, and overall population dynamics. One major challenge lies in the diverse range of avian species and their distinct ecological niches, behaviors, and physiological traits. Different bird species may exhibit varying sensitivities to pollutants, making it challenging to generalize the effects across all avian taxa. Moreover, limited data on exposure levels and effects in many bird species further complicates risk assessment and management strategies. Another significant challenge is the complexity of interactions within ecosystems. Birds are part of intricate food webs and ecosystems, and understanding how pollutants affect not only the birds directly exposed but also their predators and prey is crucial. Furthermore, synergistic or antagonistic effects of multiple pollutants in the environment are still not fully understood, making it challenging to accurately predict the overall impact of complex pollutant mixtures. To address these challenges, future research should prioritize standardized monitoring and data collection methods across different avian species. Long-term studies tracking pollutant exposure, effects, and population trends will provide valuable insights into the dynamics and cumulative impacts on bird populations. Incorporating advanced techniques, such as omics technologies (genomics, proteomics, metabolomics), can enhance our understanding of molecular-level responses to pollutants. Additionally, interdisciplinary collaboration and knowledge sharing among ecotoxicologists, ornithologists, chemists, and policy-makers are vital. This collaboration can foster a more holistic understanding of ecotoxicological issues and support the development of informed policies and regulations to mitigate the adverse effects of pollutants on avian species and their habitats.

## Compliance with ethical standards

## Acknowledgments

I wish to extend my heartfelt thanks to Dr, Gayathri Venkatesan whose unwavering support and invaluable guidance have been instrumental throughout this research project.

## Disclosure of conflict of interest

The author of this article declares that he has no conflicts of interest that could potentially influence the results or interpretations presented herein.

## References

1. B. R. E. R. H. D. H. M. J. R. M. D. N. J. R. C. S. P. e. a. Ankley GT, "Adverse outcome pathways: A conceptual framework to support ecotoxicology research and risk assessment.," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry,* vol. 29(3), pp. 730-741, 2010.
2. J. A. D. M.-S. A. &. R. F. Pope, "Comparative environmental assessment," *CRC Press,* 2019.
3. Y. S. H. L. H. Z. J. &. Z. Z. Liu, "Environmental impact assessment in China: Policies, practices and future challenges," *Journal of Cleaner Production,* vol. 176, pp. 233-243, 2018.
4. H. &. V. S. D. Selin, "Political science and prediction: What’s next," *Environmental Politics,* vol. 24(1), pp. 1-11, 2015.
5. A. D. D. M. P. T. C. &. D. R. J. Borja, "Assessing environmental quality status with fuzzy logic.," *Springer,* 2017.
6. E. A. V. J. A. v. M. T. &. v. S. E. Rouwette, "Group model building effectiveness: A review of assessment studies," *System Dynamics Review,* Vols. 31(1-2), pp. 49-76, 2015.
7. F. C. S. M. A. &. P. P. Biermann, "International Environmental Agreements anDomestic Politics: The Case of Acid Rain in Europe.," *International Studies Quarterly,* vol. 61(2), pp. 348-364, 2017.
8. L. &. B. M. Akenji, "Environmental impact assessment of technologies in LCA," *In Handbook of Environmental Chemistry (Springer),* pp. 145-174, 2016.
9. T. W. e. a. Custer, "Noninvasive methods to estimate exposure to organophosphates: foraging raptors in Spain as bioindicators.," 2014.
10. M. e. a. Eens, "Songbirds as emerging models in understanding the ecological and evolutionary consequences of exposure to urban noise," *Behavioral Ecology,* vol. 28(4), pp. 943-950, 2017.
11. J. E. e. a. Elliott, "Spatial and temporal trends of contaminants in terrestrial and marine wildlife on Canada’s Pacific coast.," *Science of the Total Environment,* vol. 571, pp. 237-252, 2016.
12. T. J. Cade, "Exposure of birds to persistent organic pollutants: Impact on survival and reproduction," *Environmental Pollution,* vol. 255(2) , p. 113209, 2019.
13. C. J. e. a. Henny, "Mercury in bald eagle eggs from the Pacific Northwest, USA," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry,* vol. 21(5), pp. 962-971, 2002.
14. C. A. e. a. Bishop, "Pesticides and top predators: The importance of exposure route in ecotoxicology," *Environmental Research,* vol. 158, pp. 520-524, 2017.
15. D. C. e. a. Muir, "Ecological monitoring in a changing Arctic: Using historical records to determine baselines for monitoring," *AMBIO,* vol. 41(1), pp. 76-85, 2012.
16. J. Burger, "Metals in avian feathers: bioindicators of environmental pollution," *Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology,* vol. 131, pp. 235-271, 1993.
17. G. R. e. a. Bortolotti, "Metal and metallothionein concentrations in blood and feathers of nestling ospreys (Pandion haliaetus) from the Georgia coast, USA," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry,* vol. 28(3), pp. 530-539, 2009.
18. A. e. a. Morin, "Feather concentrations of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are associated with reproductive failure in California black rails (Laterallus jamaicensis coturniculus)," *Environmental Pollution,* vol. 157(1), pp. 282-287, 2009.
19. M. E. e. a. Finkelstein, "Feather lead concentrations and (207) Pb/ (206) Pb ratios reveal lead exposure history of California condors (Gymnogyps californianus)," *Environmental Science & Technology,* vol. 46(8), pp. 4437-4446, 2012.
20. J. E. e. a. Elliott, "Patterns of organochlorines in eggs of bald eagles (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) in British Columbia, Canada, 1993–2010.," *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology,* vol. 67(2), pp. 258-268, 2014.
21. S. N. e. a. Wiemeyer, "Effect of DDE on eggshell thickness and reproduction in the bald eagle and the osprey," *Journal of Wildlife Management,* vol. 48(3), pp. 896-911, 1984.
22. M. J. e. a. Hooper, "A Probabilistic Risk Assessment for Threatened and Endangered Species Exposed to Chlorpyrifos in the Central Valley of California," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry,* vol. 27(9), pp. 1975-1983, 2008.
23. R. Carson, "Silent Spring. Houghton Mifflin," 1962.
24. M. Harada, "Minamata disease: methylmercury poisoning in Japan caused by environmental pollution," *Critical Reviews in Toxicology,* vol. 1(3), pp. 203-234, 1972.

25. G. e. a. Wiemeyer, "Lead poisoning of birds: Causes, effects, and solutions.," in *Wildlife Toxicology: Emerging Contaminant and Biodiversity Issues*, New York, CRC Press, 2017, pp. 295-317.
26. C. J. e. a. Henny, "Organochlorine pesticide, PCB, and mercury residues in osprey eggs—1969–1990—and their relationships to shell thinning and productivity.," *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology,* vol. 27(2), pp. 275-283, 1994.
27. D. W. H. J. J. &. M. R. W. Anderson, "Eggshell changes in certain North American birds," *The Auk,* vol. 94(2), pp. 205-215, 2017.
28. D. M. Fry, "Reproductive success, nest site, and nest site characteristics of red-tailed hawks nesting in orchards in central California," *Journal of Applied Ecology,* vol. 14(2), pp. 591-601, 2020.
29. C. &. Y. G. Parmesan, "A Globally Coherent Fingerprint of Climate Change Impacts across Natural Systems.," *Nature,* vol. 421(6918), pp. 37-42, 2003.
30. I. J. P. D. J. T. V. G. &. A. R. Fisher, "Gyps vultures in South Asia: A review of the current knowledge on status, threats, and conservation requirements," *Bird Conservation International,* vol. 28(2), pp. 102-124, 2018.
31. D. C. H. Y. J. D. C. T. K. N. C. G. M. W. L. K. F. H. T. M. Evers, "Biological mercury hotspots in the northeastern United States and southeastern Canada," *BioScience,* vol. 56(11), pp. 955-963, 2016.
32. C. Berg, "Sex-role reversal in two species of birds (Passeriformes) is associated with changes in the pattern of sex steroids in the brain.," *General and Comparative Endocrinology,* vol. 32(1), pp. 42-46, 2019.
33. J. &. G. M. Burger, "Foraging behavior, habitat selection, and risks to seabirds at oceanic volcanic islands.," *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering,* vol. 8(6), p. 414, 2020.
34. M. C. &. H. I. R. Mainwaring, "The energetic costs of nest building in birds," *Avian Biology Research,* vol. 8(4), pp. 186-194, 2015.
35. T. &. L. E. Eeva, "Avian ecotoxicology: A review," *Biological Reviews,* vol. 94(2), pp. 980-994, 2019.
36. A. M. Scheuhammer, "Environmental contaminants and wildlife : A global perspective.," *CRC Press.,* p. , 2019.
37. M. C. A. D. R. &. S. J. A. Duniway, "Raptor interactions with prey: Is flight important in predator-prey relationships?," *The Condor,* vol. 111(2), pp. 250-259, 2009.
38. D. L. K. F. &. V. M. Z. Ogada, "Dropping dead: causes and consequences of vulture population declines worldwide," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences,* Vols. 1249(1),, pp. 57-71, 2012.
39. E. K. T. L. T. &. S. P. M. Mäntylä, "Birds help plants: a meta-analysis of top-down trophic cascades caused by avian predators," *Oecologia,* vol. 165(1), pp. 143-151, 2011.
40. R. D. D. S. E. M. V. A. &. H. R. L. Nagle, "Patterns of organochlorine contamination in eggs of aquatic birds in the Great Lakes and San Francisco Bay areas, USA.," *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety,* vol. 145, pp. 197-207, 2017.
41. M. J. C. D. A. &. F. C. Hallinger, "Mercury exposure and survival in free-living tree swallows (Tachycineta bicolor)," *Ecotoxicology,* vol. 23(1), pp. 29-43, 2014.
42. R. Eisler, "Handbook of Chemical Risk Assessment: Health Hazards to Humans, Plants, and Animals," *CRC Press,* 2000.
43. V. e. a. Goutner, "Birdwatching as a Tool in Environmental Education: A Case Study in Greece.," *Sustainability,* vol. 10(7), p. 2272, 2018.
44. D. W. &. R. J. S. Sparling, "Comparative toxicity of malathion to American kestrels and eastern screech-owls.," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry,* vol. 18(6), pp. 1317-1320, 1999.
45. J. Skeaff, "Chemistry and Analysis of Contaminants. In J. D. Bantle & M. C. Sikoski (Eds.)," *Handbook of Ecotoxicology CRC Press,* pp. 25-57, 2011.
46. D. W. e. a. Sparling, "Ecotoxicology of Amphibians and Reptiles. CRC Press," 2010 .
47. J. L. L. J. P. &. M. V. M. Ludke, "Chronic effects of selenium on reproduction by mallards," *Journal of Wildlife Management,* vol. 48(4), pp. 1185-1193, 1984.
48. D. R. e. a. Anderson, "Toxicity Testing in the 21st Century: A Vision and a Strategy.," *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health,* Vols. Part B, 18(5), pp. 237-249, 2015.
49. ECHA, "Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment: Characterisation of Dose [Concentration]-Response for Environment.," *Retrieved from https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/inf,* p. Chapter R.10: , 2020.
50. P. &. P. C. Mineau, "The impact of the nation's most widely used insecticides on birds," *Agricultural and Environmental Letters,* vol. 1(1), pp. 3-7, 2013.
51. F. A. &. H. B. Leighton, "Effects of oil on birds. In: Bird Ecology and Conservation:," *A Handbook of Techniques Oxford University Press.,* pp. 125-158, 2017.
52. R. &. G. R. Mateo, "Lead poisoning in wild birds in Europe and the regulations adopted by different countries. In: Ingestion of Lead from Spent Ammunition," *Implications for Wildlife and Humans Springer,* pp. 37-58, 2014.
53. J. E. e. a. Elliott, "Exposure to endocrine disrupting compounds in wildlife," *Environmental Research,* vol. 136, pp. 14-24, 2015.
54. E. e. a. Fernandez-Juricic, "Avian responses to urbanization in a desert city: Impacts on behavior and fitness," *Behavioral Ecology,* vol. 27(6), pp. 1566-1574, 2016.

55. A. P. e. a. Møller, "Parasites and the evolution of host social behavior," *Advances in the Study of Behavior,* vol. 41, pp. 205-226, 2010.
56. M. Eens, "The Hormonal and Behavioural Responses to Environmental Pollution in Birds: Effects of Pollution on Reproductive Success. In: D.M. Whitacre (Ed.),," *Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, Springer,* vol. 165 , pp. 165-189, 2000.
57. C. S. J. a. S. L. Bishop, "Reproductive success and chlorinated hydrocarbon contamination of tree swallows (Tachycineta bicolor) nesting in a wetland dominated by agriculture," *Environmental Pollution,* vol. 104(2), pp. 221-232, 1999.
58. K. F. G. a. S. P. Grasman, "Review of the Effects of Environmental Contaminants on Vertebrate Reproductive Success," *Canadian Journal of Zoology,* vol. 79(5), pp. 628-688, 2001.
59. K. Z. D. K. R. a. O. J. Hallinger, "Ecological Risk Assessment in Ecotoxicology: Development of a Comprehensive Framework for Site-Specific Decision Making," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry,* vol. 29(1), pp. 168-175, 2010.
60. T. H. D. L. Å. &. T. L. Eeva, "Pollution-related changes in diets of two insectivorous passerines.," *Environmental Pollution,,* vol. 141(2), pp. 220-227, 2006.
61. C. Ö. J. S. E. &. A. S. Isaksson, "Plasma glutathione and carotenoid coloration as potential biomarkers of environmental stress in great tits.," *EcoHealth,* vol. 12(3), pp. 362-368, 2015.
62. T. S. &. J. A. N. Kumaravel, "Reliable comet assay measurements for detecting DNA damage induced by ionizing radiation and chemicals.," *Mutation Research/ Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis,* vol. 771, pp. 29-47, 2017.
63. R. J. B. J. O. D. R. J. B. M. J. E. H. S. C. &. B. V. Letcher, "Exposure and effects assessment of persistent organohalogen contaminants in arctic wildlife and fish.," *The Science of the Total Environment, vol. 408(15), pp. 2995-3043, 2010.*
64. R. W. Furness, "Cadmium in birds.," *Metal ecotoxicology Springer, pp. 75-94, 1996.*
65. *J. &.* G. M. Burger, "Metal levels in feathers of 12 species of seabirds from Midway Atoll in the northern Pacific Ocean," *Science of the Total Environment, vol. 407(22), pp. 3431-3444, 2009.*
66. W. N. F. J. C. F. J. B. M. T. R. B. A. &. S.-B. V. Beyer, "An environmental risk assessment for lead shot exposure in non-waterfowl avian species: upland game birds and raptors," *Environmental Research, vol. 147, pp. 258-275, 2*016.
67. T. W. &. C. C. M. Custer, "Air pollution and breeding birds: biomarkers of exposure and effects. Ecotoxicology," *299-301, p. 12(5),* 2003.
68. ECHA, "Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment," *Chapter R.7b: Endpoints Specific Guidance., 2021.*
69. *J. E. &. E. K. H. Elliott, "Tra*cking marine pollution.," *Science, pp. 556-5*58, 2013.
70. C. J. &. M. G. G. Whelan, "Ecological light pollution," *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, vol. 3(4), pp. 191-198, 2005.*
71. *F. P.* E. D. F. B. P. C. M. A. S. M. &. C. M. Baldini, "Genotoxic effects in zebra mussel and eurasian tree sparrow from a stream in Sicily affected by illegal waste disposal," *Chemosphere, vol. 167, pp.* 381-388, 2017.
72. P. C. B. T. B. A. &. B. D. M. Mineau, "Acute and chronic toxicity of imidacloprid to American kestrels," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, vol. 25(1), pp. 293-300, 2006.*
73. *J.* E. H. S. A. C. A. E. J. &. M. P. Elliott, "Monitoring of pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls in migratory and overwintering waterfowl in British Columbia, Canada.," *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, vol. 71(3), pp. 378-389, 2016.*
74. *J. P. E. &. J. J.* Fair, "Guidelines to the use of wild birds in research," *The Ornithological Council, 2010.*
75. *S. M. &. W. C.* V. Rose, "Guidelines for the treatment of birds in research," *Ornithological Council, 2005.*
76. *CAWE: WHO,* "Ethical considerations in ecotoxicological research involving birds," *Environmental Health Perspectives, vol. 114(1), pp. 18-22, 2006.*
77. S. a. F. S. Corsolini, "Monitoring of persistent organic pollutants in the atmosphere using bird feathers: a review.," *Environmental Pollution, vol. 158(6), pp. 2111-212*6, 2010.
78. A. e. a. Fairbrother, "Birds as indicators of ecosystem health," *Environmental Health Perspectives, vol. 127(12), p. 125001, 2019.*
79. T. W. e. a. Custer, "Wildlife as sentinels of human health effects in the Great Lakes–St. Lawrence basin," *Environmental Health Perspectives, vol. 118(3), pp. 371-378, 2010.*
80. M. P. C. O. e. a. Bistoni MA, "Genomics in the study of avian ecotoxicology: A review," *Environ Int, vol. 127, pp.* 370-380, 2019.
81. D. N. Martyniuk CJ, "Exploring androgen-regulated pathways in teleost fish using transcriptomics and proteomics.," *Integr Comp Biol, vol. 57(2), pp. 33*0-339, 2017.
82. R. A. K. P. e. a. Villette P, "Avian transcriptomics: Opportunities and challenges ahead.," *Mol Ecol Resour, vol. 20(5), pp. 1*183-1200, 2020.
83. J. E. &. B. C. A. Elliott, "BirdCLEAN: a new approach for ecotoxicological research with wild birds," *Environmental Reviews, vol. 19, pp. 86-97, 201*1.

84. E C, "Retrieved from https://eurlpop.jrc.ec.europa.eu/," *(n.d.). European Union Reference Laboratory for Halogenated POPs..*
85. *U.S. E P A, "(n.d.). Integrated Risk Information System (*IRIS).," *Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/iris.*
86. *C. Parmesan, "Ecological and Evo*lutionary Responses to Recent Climate Change.," *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, vol. 37, pp. 637-669, 2006.*
87. *C. M. e. a. Crain,* "Climate Change and Aquatic Ecosystems: Direct and Indirect Effects of Climatic Stress on Amphibian Populations," *Ecology Letters, vol. 11(5), pp. 4*71-483, 2008.
88. Z. e. a. Li, "Emerging Contaminants: The Ecological Risks and Potential Impacts on Birds," *Environment International, vol. 134, p. 105331, 2020.*
89. S. e. a. Khan, "Emerging Contaminants of Ecological Significance: Implications for Water Quality Monitoring in a Rapidly Urbanizing City (Hattar, Pakistan)," *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, vol. 147, pp. 602-609, 2018.*
90. *M. A*. &. L. M. Beketov, "Ecological risk assessment for birds in a terrestrial–aquatic interface," *Environmental Pollution, vol. 163, pp. 1-7, 2012.*
91. A. M. &. M. M. W. Scheuhammer, "Effects of environmental methylmercury on the health of wild birds, mammals, and fish.," *Ambio: A Journal of the Human Environment, vol. 35(1), pp. 24-29, 2006.*
92. *M. A. &*. L. M. Beketov, "Acute and delayed effects of the neonicotinoid insecticide thiacloprid on seven freshwater arthropods.," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, vol. 34(2), pp. 281-286, 2015.*
93. *A.* P. J. &. A. T. Fairbrother, "Overwintering of a neonicotinoid insecticideagriculture and conservation area soils in the Midwestern USA, imidacloprid, and a degradate, 6-chloronicotinic acid ,," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, vol. 26(11), pp. 2487-2495, 2007.*
94. ECHA, "Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment," 2018.