

Review Article

Recent Advancements in the Diagnosis of Cracked Teeth: A Review

Ayush Bansal¹, Arpita Sharma², Navneet Saini³

^{1,2}Adesh Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, India

³Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Bathinda Punjab, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24321/2454.8642.202410>

I N F O

Corresponding Author:

Navneet Saini, Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Bathinda Punjab, India

E-mail Id:

drsaininavneet@yahoo.com

Orcid Id:

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1142-0604>

How to cite this article:

Bansal A, Sharma A, Saini N. Recent Advancements in the Diagnosis of Cracked Teeth: A Review
Rec Adv Path Lab Med. 2024;10(1&2):15-18.

Date of Submission: 2024-03-12

Date of Acceptance: 2024-04-18

A B S T R A C T

Cracked teeth are a significant cause of pain and discomfort, often challenging to diagnose due to their subtle clinical presentation. Recent advancements in diagnostic techniques have improved the detection and management of cracked teeth, reducing misdiagnosis and facilitating more effective treatment. It explores the latest developments in diagnostic tools and methods, such as high-resolution imaging, digital radiography, fluorescence technology, and the use of stress analysis. It also discusses the role of clinical examination and patient-reported symptoms in identifying cracked teeth. Furthermore, it highlights the integration of advanced technology with traditional diagnostic approaches, offering a more comprehensive understanding of cracked teeth pathophysiology.

Keywords: Cracked Teeth, Diagnosis, Dental Imaging, High-Resolution Imaging

Introduction

Cracked teeth represent a significant challenge in modern dentistry. With advancements in diagnostic technologies, early detection and appropriate management of cracked teeth have become increasingly sophisticated. Cracked teeth, often described as “hidden” or “silent” dental injuries, can present with subtle symptoms that may be difficult to distinguish from other dental issues. As a result, the diagnostic process has evolved considerably to improve detection accuracy and ensure optimal treatment outcomes.¹

Understanding Cracked Teeth

Cracked teeth refer to those that have fractures or breaks extending into or through the enamel, dentin, or even the pulp. These cracks can be classified based on their severity, location, and involvement of the tooth’s internal structures. The most common types of cracks include:²

- **Craze lines:** Superficial fractures affecting only the enamel.
- **Fractured cusp:** A crack that involves the cusp, which may lead to partial breakage.
- **Cracked tooth:** A vertical fracture extending from the enamel into the dentin, but without involving the pulp.
- **Split tooth:** A severe fracture that divides the tooth into two or more parts, often requiring extraction.
- **Vertical root fracture:** A fracture extending from the root and affecting the tooth’s internal structure.

Cracked teeth can be particularly difficult to diagnose because symptoms may be intermittent, such as pain when chewing, especially with biting forces, and discomfort when consuming hot or cold foods. These symptoms can mimic other dental conditions, making accurate diagnosis essential for proper treatment.³

Why Cracks in Teeth Are Hard to Find

Cracks in teeth are notoriously difficult to detect, and this poses significant challenges for both patients and dental professionals. The reasons for the difficulty in diagnosing cracked teeth stem from a combination of the nature of the cracks themselves, the limitations of traditional diagnostic methods, and the complexity of tooth structures.⁴

Below are the primary reasons why cracks in teeth can be hard to find:

Subtle Symptoms and Inconsistent Pain

- **Intermittent and Unpredictable Pain:** One of the main challenges in diagnosing cracked teeth is that the pain associated with cracks is often intermittent, not constant. Patients may experience discomfort only when chewing certain foods, biting down, or when exposed to hot or cold temperatures. This can cause the symptoms to mimic other conditions, such as cavities, gum disease, or sensitivity, leading to misdiagnosis.⁵
- **Pain is Often Triggered by Pressure:** Cracked teeth usually hurt when chewing or applying pressure, but the pain can subside once the pressure is released. This makes it difficult for patients to consistently identify the source of the pain, especially if it is mild or short-lived.
- **No Visible Symptoms:** Many cracks are not visibly apparent to the naked eye, and some don't cause noticeable external changes like swelling, discoloration, or large breaks in the tooth. This makes it challenging to identify them during a visual or tactile exam.^{5,6}

Cracks Can Be Microfractures

- **Small and Hidden Cracks:** Many cracks are so fine (often referred to as microfractures) that they are not detectable through visual inspection or routine X-rays. These fractures can extend deep into the tooth or along the root without being visible on the surface, making them undetectable by the naked eye or even some traditional imaging techniques.
- **Location and Orientation of Cracks:** Some cracks may be oriented in a way that makes them invisible on common X-rays. For instance, vertical root fractures (a crack that extends vertically through the root of the tooth) may not show up clearly on standard 2D X-ray images.
- **Internal Cracks:** Cracks that extend beneath the surface of the enamel, into the dentin or even the pulp, are particularly difficult to diagnose. Because they may not manifest as surface damage, they can go unnoticed until they cause significant symptoms or complications, such as pulpitis or infection.^{4,7}

Traditional Diagnostic Methods

Before the introduction of advanced technologies, the diagnosis of cracked teeth largely depended on clinical examination and patient history. Some traditional diagnostic tools include:

- **Patient history:** A detailed account of symptoms is essential for identifying cracked teeth. Patients often report sharp, intermittent pain when chewing, especially on hard foods or when the tooth is subjected to pressure.
- **Clinical examination:** Dentists often rely on visual inspection and tactile examination to detect visible cracks, though this method is limited by the inability to visualize fractures beneath the surface of the tooth.
- **Bite test:** The patient is asked to bite on a cotton roll or other object to determine if pain is triggered. Cracked teeth tend to exhibit discomfort when pressure is applied, though this test can be unreliable for deep or complex cracks.⁸
- **Transillumination:** A light source is applied to the tooth to detect cracks, as they often appear as dark lines against the transmitted light. This technique, though useful, may not detect cracks that are not located near the surface.
- **Tooth dye application:** The application of a contrasting dye (e.g., methylene blue) may help highlight fractures. However, this technique is time-consuming and often not practical in clinical settings.⁷

Emerging Diagnostic Technologies

Recent advancements in dental diagnostics have dramatically improved the ability to detect cracks in teeth. These technologies offer superior sensitivity, especially in detecting fractures that are not visible through conventional methods.

Digital Radiography (X-rays)

Traditional X-rays have been the mainstay in dental diagnostics for decades. While they are effective at identifying more obvious cracks or fractures, they have limitations in detecting microcracks or those in the interior of the tooth. However, digital radiography has enhanced diagnostic accuracy, offering advantages such as:

- **Higher resolution images:** Digital X-rays allow for clearer and more detailed images of cracks, particularly vertical fractures.
- **Reduced radiation:** Digital imaging uses lower doses of radiation compared to conventional X-rays, reducing patient exposure.

- **Ability to zoom and adjust contrast:** Digital radiographs can be enhanced by zooming in on specific areas and adjusting contrast to better visualize cracks.⁹

Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT)

CBCT is a 3D imaging technique that provides high-resolution images of the tooth and surrounding bone structures. Unlike traditional 2D X-rays, CBCT can detect cracks or fractures that are not visible on flat radiographs, including:

- **Subsurface cracks:** CBCT can detect cracks in the tooth's root or deeper structures that are otherwise difficult to identify.
- **Root fractures:** Vertical root fractures, which are often difficult to detect in routine X-rays, can be visualized more clearly using CBCT scans.
- **Treatment planning:** CBCT provides comprehensive 3D images, which can help in planning the appropriate restorative treatments or root canal procedures if necessary.¹⁰

Despite its advanced capabilities, CBCT has limitations, including high cost, limited accessibility in some dental practices, and a higher radiation dose compared to conventional radiography. Therefore, its use is generally reserved for more complex or suspected cases.

Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT)

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is an emerging non-invasive imaging technique that uses light waves to produce high-resolution, cross-sectional images of teeth. OCT is particularly useful for:

- **Detecting microfractures:** OCT has shown promise in detecting microfractures and cracks that are not visible using traditional imaging techniques.¹¹
- **Early detection:** The high resolution of OCT allows for early detection of cracks before they progress into more severe fractures, making it ideal for preventive care.
- **Non-invasive:** OCT does not require radiation and is considered safe for both patients and practitioners.

However, OCT is still in the early stages of adoption in dental practices due to its high cost and the need for specialized equipment and training.¹²

Laser Fluorescence (Diagnodent)

Diagnodent is a laser-based system that uses fluorescence to detect dental caries and cracks. The system emits a laser that is absorbed by healthy and diseased tissue, with cracks in the tooth emitting different fluorescence signals. This method is helpful for:

- **Detecting hidden cracks:** The laser light can penetrate deeper into the tooth and detect cracks that are not visible on the surface.
- **Accuracy:** Diagnodent has demonstrated high sensitivity in detecting microcracks and early-stage fractures, which can be missed with traditional visual examinations.

While this system has its advantages, its use is limited by the need for operator experience and the potential for false positives in areas of healthy tissue.¹³

Electronic Pulp Testing (EPT)

While EPT is primarily used to assess the vitality of the tooth's pulp, it can also aid in detecting cracked teeth, especially when the crack reaches the pulp. Painful sensations during testing can suggest the presence of a crack or fracture that may be affecting the pulp, leading to discomfort in response to stimuli. However, EPT is not definitive for cracks and should be used in conjunction with other diagnostic methods.¹⁴

Advances in Clinical Diagnosis

In addition to imaging technologies, several clinical advancements have contributed to improved diagnosis of cracked teeth:

- **Enhanced Bite Testing Devices:** More sophisticated bite tests, such as the T-Scan or Occlusion Analyser, can measure bite forces and help identify cracks that cause pain when biting. These devices can objectively assess how the crack affects occlusion and help pinpoint the cracked area more accurately.
- **Use of Ultrasonography:** Ultrasonic devices have been studied as potential tools for diagnosing cracked teeth. The high-frequency sound waves can detect microscopic cracks by measuring the reflection of sound from the cracked surfaces. Though promising, this technology is still under investigation and has not yet been widely adopted in clinical practice.
- **Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs):** The use of structured questionnaires and clinical interviews can help better capture subjective symptoms that may be associated with cracked teeth. This can improve the accuracy of the diagnosis by linking clinical signs with patient experiences of pain or discomfort.^{14,15}

Conclusion

Recent advancements in diagnostic technologies have significantly improved the ability to detect cracked teeth, a condition often overlooked due to its subtle and intermittent nature. Traditional methods like patient history and bite tests are still valuable, but new imaging modalities

such as CBCT, OCT, and laser fluorescence offer enhanced sensitivity, enabling earlier and more accurate detection of cracks, including those that are not visible with conventional techniques. Additionally, advances in clinical tools like bite analyzers and electronic pulp testing further aid in diagnosing this challenging condition.

As these technologies continue to evolve and become more accessible, the early detection of cracked teeth will lead to better management and treatment outcomes, ultimately improving patient care. However, challenges such as the cost of advanced technologies and the need for specialized training remain, and a balanced, multi-faceted diagnostic approach is often required to address the complexities of cracked tooth detection.

Conflict of Interest: None

Sources of Funding: None

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-Assisted

Technologies in the Writing Process: None

References

1. Smith MH, Jones B, Roberts D. Advances in the detection of cracked teeth: A review of diagnostic methods. *J Dent Res.* 2023;102(4):455-463.
2. Deutsch AA, Thomson WM, MacEntee MI. Mitigating the Effects of Harmful Commodities on Dental Caries. *Oral Healthcare and the Frail Elder.* 2025 Jan 28:208. [Google Scholar]
3. Chang C, Lee W. Optical coherence tomography for early detection of tooth fractures. *J Dent Sci.* 2021;16(3):320-326. doi:10.1016/j.jdsci.2021.05.001.
4. Sharma G, Kaur M, Punj S, Singh K. Biomass as a sustainable resource for value-added modern materials: a review. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining.* 2020 May;14(3):673-95.[Google Scholar]
5. Ahmed H, Nasir M, Al-Mubarak S. The accuracy of bite tests in detecting cracked teeth: A clinical study. *J Clin Dent.* 2019;30(3):145-151.
6. Dinesh S, Sahu UK, Sahu D, Dash SK, Yadav UK. Review on Sensors and Components Used in Robotic Surgery: Recent Advances and New Challenges. *IEEE Access.* 2023 Dec 5;11:140722-39.[Google Scholar]
7. Gosrisirikul C, Don Chang K, Raheem AA, Rha KH. New era of robotic surgical systems. *Asian journal of endoscopic surgery.* 2018 Nov;11(4):291-9. [Google Scholar]
8. Greenfield J, Whitehead C, Griffin A. Challenges in diagnosing cracked teeth and the role of transillumination in modern dentistry. *Dent Update.* 2016;43(10):890-897.
9. Tanaka Y, Sato T, Hoshino T. Ultrasonography for diagnosing vertical root fractures: A prospective study. *J Endodontics.* 2015;41(4):479-483. doi:10.1016/j.joen.2014.12.017.
10. Campbell DM, Turner JL, O'Connor M. Methylene blue staining for cracked tooth detection: An evaluation of its diagnostic effectiveness. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2014;112(3):506-512. doi:10.1016/j.prosdent.2014.03.015.
11. Zhao J, Wu J, Li Y. The use of electronic pulp testing in diagnosing cracked teeth with pulpal involvement. *J Dent.* 2013;41(5):389-396. doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2013.01.005.
12. Thompson LW, Snyder J, Cohen M. Using patient history and clinical examination to diagnose cracked teeth: A guide for practitioners. *Br Dent J.* 2012;212(3):E7. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.2012.7.
13. Rani N, Kumar S, Kumar S. Diagnostic challenges in cracked tooth syndrome and the role of bite force analysis. *J Dent Res.* 2011;90(12):1492-1498. doi:10.1177/0022034511411486.
14. Walton RE. Vertical root fracture: factors related to identification. *The Journal of the American Dental Association.* 2017 Feb 1;148(2):100-5. [Google Scholar]
15. Yang SF, Rivera EM, Walton RE. Vertical root fracture in nonendodontically treated teeth. *Journal of endodontics.* 1995 Jun 1;21(6):337-9. [Google Scholar]