International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Sustainability
Volume 6, Issue 1 - 2024, Pg. No. 4-19
Peer Reviewed Journal

Review Article

Agriculture and Zoonotic Diseases in Farmers in India

Shahnawaz Ahmed

Research Scientist, Institute for Industrial Research and Toxicology, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

I N F O

E-mail Id:
shanu160by2@gmail.com
Orcid Id:
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8678-4541

How to cite this article:
Ahmed S. Agriculture and Zoonotic Diseases in Farmers in India. *Int J Agric Env Sustain* 2024; 6(1): 4-19.

Date of Submission: 2024-03-09
Date of Acceptance: 2024-04-21

A B S T R A C T

The article explores the intricate relationship between farming practices and the incidence of zoonotic diseases, considering factors such as livestock management, agricultural techniques, and socio-economic conditions. Drawing on a diverse set of data sources, including surveys, interviews, and medical records, the study aims to identify specific risk factors associated with zoonotic disease transmission within the Indian farming population. Key areas of investigation include the prevalence of zoonotic diseases among farmers, the impact of agricultural practices on disease transmission, and the level of awareness and preventive measures adopted by farmers. Additionally, the study examines the economic repercussions of zoonotic diseases on farming communities, shedding light on the broader implications for food security and rural livelihoods. The findings from this research contribute to a deeper understanding of the complex interplay between agriculture and zoonotic diseases, providing valuable insights for policymakers, healthcare professionals, and agricultural stakeholders. Furthermore, the study proposes targeted interventions and strategies to mitigate the risk of zoonotic diseases in the Indian farming context, emphasizing the importance of One Health approaches that integrate human, animal, and environmental health considerations. By addressing the nexus between agriculture and zoonotic diseases in the specific context of India, this research aims to inform evidence-based policies and practices that safeguard the health and well-being of farmers while promoting sustainable and resilient agricultural systems.

Keywords: Agriculture, Zoonotic Diseases, Livestock, Disease Transmission, Public Health, Policies and Practices

Introduction

Zoonotic diseases are infectious diseases that can be transmitted between animals and humans. These diseases are caused by pathogens such as bacteria, viruses, parasites, and fungi, which can jump from animals to humans through direct or indirect contact. Zoonotic diseases have significant implications for agriculture, as they can impact livestock, wildlife, and humans, affecting food safety, security, and public health. Many zoonotic diseases originate in animals, particularly wildlife and livestock. Agricultural settings provide opportunities for close contact between humans and animals, increasing the risk of disease transmission.[1]Zoonotic diseases can affect the health and productivity of livestock, leading to economic losses for farmers. For example, diseases like brucellosis and anthrax can cause reproductive issues and reduce the yield of meat and dairy products. Zoonotic pathogens can contaminate food products, posing risks to human consumers. Proper handling and processing of agricultural products are essential to prevent the spread of zoonotic diseases through the food supply chain.[2] Some zoonotic diseases have the potential to cause pandemics if not properly controlled. The emergence of diseases like avian influenza and COVID-19 highlights the interconnectedness of human and animal health in the context of agriculture .[3]The use of antimicrobials in agriculture, such as in livestock farming, can contribute to the development of antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic pathogens. This poses challenges for treating infections in both animals and humans. Zoonotic diseases can impact wildlife populations, affecting biodiversity. Agricultural practices that encroach upon natural habitats may increase the risk of disease spillover from wildlife to domestic animals and humans.[4]

Understanding and addressing the risks associated with zoonotic diseases in the context of agriculture requires interdisciplinary collaboration between public health, veterinary medicine, and agriculture sectors. Additionally, implementing effective surveillance, biosecurity measures, and promoting responsible antimicrobial use are crucial for mitigating the impact of zoonotic diseases on agriculture and public health.[1] Several zoonotic diseases have been of particular concern in the context of agriculture (See Table.1) Avian Influenza (Bird Flu) is a viral disease primarily affects birds but can be transmitted to humans through close contact with infected birds or their environments. Poultry farming is a common source of transmission, and outbreaks can have significant economic impacts on the poultry industry.[5] Brucellosis is caused by bacteria of the genus Brucella, brucellosis can be transmitted from animals (such as cattle, goats, and pigs) to humans through contact with infected animals or consumption of contaminated dairy products.[6] This disease can affect livestock productivity and human health.[5] Coxiella burnetii, the bacterium responsible for Q fever, can infect various animals, including livestock (See Table.1). Humans can contract the disease through contact with infected animals or their products. Livestock handling, particularly in the context of agriculture, poses a risk of transmission.[5] Leptospirosis is bacterial disease commonly associated with rodents and can be transmitted to humans through contact with contaminated water or soil. Agricultural workers, including those involved in rice farming, are at an increased risk of contracting leptospirosis .[7] Tick-Borne Diseases such or Lyme disease and tick-borne encephalitis can be transmitted to humans through the bite of infected ticks. Agricultural workers, especially those working in wooded or grassy areas, may be at higher risk.[7]

Agricultural Landscape and Animals

India's agricultural landscape is diverse, ranging from traditional subsistence farming to modern commercial agriculture. With a significant portion of its population engaged in agriculture, the sector plays a crucial role in the country's economy and sustenance. The interaction between humans and animals in this landscape is extensive, creating a complex web of relationships that also pose potential challenges, particularly in the context of zoonotic diseases. India is characterized by a wide variety of agricultural practices, influenced by factors such as climate, topography, and cultural traditions.[8] Crop cultivation, animal husbandry, and aquaculture are integral components of this diverse landscape. Livestock, including cattle, buffalo, goats, and poultry, are integral to Indian agriculture.[7] They provide draft power, milk, meat, and other products, contributing significantly to rural livelihoods. The close interaction between humans and animals in the context of husbandry practices creates opportunities for the transmission of zoonotic diseases. Zoonotic diseases are illnesses that can be transmitted between animals and humans. In India, several zoonotic diseases pose public health challenges.[6] Examples include brucellosis, avian influenza, leptospirosis, and rabies. The high density of human and animal populations in agricultural settings enhances the risk of disease transmission.[9] Addressing the complex interplay between agriculture, animals, and human health requires a holistic approach. The One Health approach emphasizes the interconnectedness of human, animal, and environmental health. It recognizes that the health of each is interconnected and interdependent.[10] The coexistence of humans and animals in the agricultural landscape presents both challenges and opportunities. While zoonotic diseases can have significant health and economic impacts, sustainable agricultural practices, improved veterinary care, and effective disease surveillance can mitigate these risks.[6]

Zoonotic Diseases

Zoonotic diseases are infectious diseases that can be transmitted between animals and humans. These diseases may be caused by bacteria, viruses, fungi, or parasites. Zoonosis can have significant public health implications, and many emerging infectious diseases are of zoonotic origin. The transmission of zoonotic diseases between animals and humans can occur through various mechanisms, and agriculture plays a crucial role in this context. Direct contact infection may take place with infected animals or their bodily fluids is a common mode of transmission. This can occur through activities such as handling animals, consuming contaminated food products, or exposure to animal waste.[11] Zoonotic agents can also be transmitted indirectly through vectors like mosquitoes or ticks. In these cases, the vector may acquire the pathogen from an infected animal and then transmit it to humans.[11]

Agriculture and Relationship with Animals

Livestock plays a crucial role in Indian agriculture, contributing significantly to the economy, livelihoods, and food security. Here are several key aspects highlighting the importance of livestock in Indian agriculture. Livestock farming contributes significantly to the agricultural GDP in India. According to the National Accounts Statistics (2019-20), the livestock sector accounted for about 4.6% of the total GDP in agriculture and allied activities in India .[28]Cattle rearing provides employment opportunities to a large section of the rural population. The sector is a major source of livelihood for small and marginal farmers, landless laborers, and women.[29] Cows and buffalo products such as milk, meat, and eggs are important sources of nutrition. They contribute to the protein and micronutrient requirements of the population, playing a crucial role in addressing malnutrition.[30] Their farming provides a viable option for farmers to diversify their income sources. It acts as a risk mitigation strategy, especially for small and marginal farmers facing uncertainties in crop production .[31] Livestock contribute to the sustainability of agriculture through manure production, which enhances soil fertility and improves crop yields. Livestock play a vital role in integrated farming systems.[32] Cattle products, particularly buffalo meat and dairy products, contribute significantly to India's export earnings. The export of livestock products enhances foreign exchange reserves and trade balance.[33]

Table 1.Health benefits of different flowers

Zoonotic Disease	Infected Animals	Reference
Rabies	Dogs, bats, and other mammals	[12]
Brucellosis	Cattle, goats, sheep, pigs, and other livestock	[13]
Toxoplasmosis	Cats (definitive host), birds, mammals	[14]
Cysticercosis	Pigs (intermediate host)	[15]
Echinococcosis	Dogs (definitive host), livestock, wild animals	[16]
Japanese Encephalitis (JE)	Mosquitoes (vector-borne)	[17]
Plague	Rodents (especially rats), fleas	[18]
Leptospirosis	Rodents, cattle, pigs, dogs, and other mammals	[19]
Scrub Typhus	Rodents, chiggers (larval mites)	[20]
Kyasanur Forest Disease (KFD)	Rodents, monkeys, and ticks (vector-borne)	[21]
Nipah Virus	Bats (natural reservoir), pigs	[22]
Anthrax	Herbivorous animals (cattle, sheep, goats), soil	[23]
Tuberculosis	Cattle, humans (Mycobacterium bovis, tuberculosis)	[24]
H1N1 Influenza	Pigs (considered a reservoir for some strains)	[25]
Zoonotic Influenza (H5N1)	Birds (especially poultry), wild birds	[26]
Avian Influenza (Bird Flu)	Birds, especially waterfowl and poultry	[27]

Traditional Farming Practices

In many traditional agricultural societies, animals such as oxen, horses, or water buffaloes were used for plowing fields and transportation of goods.[34] Traditional farms often integrated crops and livestock, with animals providing manure for fertilizing crops and serving as a source of additional income through the sale of meat, milk, and other products.[35] Nomadic or semi-nomadic pastoralist communities rely on herding animals like cattle, sheep, and goats, moving them seasonally to find suitable grazing areas.[36]

Modern Farming Practices

Large-scale, intensive animal farming involves confining animals in concentrated feeding operations (CAFOs) to maximize production efficiency.[37]Utilizing technology such as sensors, GPS, and data analytics to monitor and manage livestock health, reproduction, and nutrition with precision.[38] Modern breeding techniques aim to improve animal traits for increased productivity, disease resistance, and environmental adaptability.[39] Involves farming fish and other aquatic organisms in controlled environments, addressing the increasing demand for seafood.[40]

Livestock Diversity and Zoonotic Diseases

Livestock diversity plays a crucial role in the context of zoonotic diseases, which are infectious diseases that can be transmitted between animals and humans. The diversity of livestock species and breeds can impact the prevalence, transmission, and emergence of zoonotic diseases in several ways. Livestock biodiversity can contribute to the resilience of ecosystems and animal populations, which, in turn, may affect the prevalence of zoonotic diseases. A diverse livestock population can act as a buffer against the spread of diseases by reducing the concentration of susceptible hosts .[41] Intensive farming practices often involve high-density populations of a limited number of livestock breeds, creating an environment conducive to the rapid transmission of diseases. The lack of genetic diversity in these populations can increase the risk of disease outbreaks.[2] Livestock breeds vary in their susceptibility and resistance to specific diseases. Maintaining a diverse range of breeds allows for the identification and promotion of genetically resistant individuals, contributing to disease control efforts.[42] The movement of livestock, especially in the context of trade and farming practices, can facilitate the spread of diseases. Genetic diversity in livestock populations may influence the dynamics of disease transmission during such movements.[43]

The One Health approach emphasizes the interconnectedness of human, animal, and environmental health. Livestock diversity is a crucial component of this approach, recognizing that the health of animals and humans are interlinked .[44] Livestock diversity can influence the transmission dynamics of zoonotic diseases. The diversity of species and breeds may impact the prevalence and spread of zoonotic pathogens. Different livestock species can act as reservoirs for various infectious agents, and the interactions between different species can facilitate the transmission of diseases.[2] High livestock diversity may contribute to overall ecosystem health and resilience. Biodiverse systems tend to be more stable and can provide natural barriers to the spread of diseases. Livestock breeds with genetic resistance to specific pathogens can play a crucial role in reducing the incidence of zoonotic diseases.[45]

Impact of Livestock Management

The way livestock are managed, including factors such as confinement, density, and the mixing of different species, can influence the risk of zoonotic disease transmission. Intensive farming practices that reduce genetic diversity and increase stress among animals may create conditions favorable for the emergence and spread of zoonotic pathogens.[46] Monitoring the health of livestock can serve as an early warning system for potential zoonotic disease outbreaks. Changes in morbidity and mortality patterns in diverse livestock populations may indicate the presence of emerging infectious diseases with zoonotic potential .[47] Preserving the genetic diversity of livestock breeds is essential for maintaining resilience to diseases. The loss of genetic diversity through the extinction of specific breeds can reduce the overall ability of livestock populations to adapt to changing disease pressures.[48]

Zoonotic Disease Risks in Indian Farming

High-Risk Regions and Practices

Intensive farming practices, common in regions with high livestock density, can create an environment conducive to the rapid spread of diseases. Areas with a high degree of interaction between domestic animals and wildlife can be hotspots for zoonotic disease emergence.[2] Widespread and unregulated use of antibiotics in livestock farming can contribute to the development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, increasing the risk of zoonotic infections. Traditional markets where live animals are sold can facilitate the direct transmission of diseases from animals to humans. Improper disposal of animal waste and lack of sanitation measures can contribute to the spread of zoonotic pathogens.[49] Poultry farming, particularly in densely populated regions, has been associated with outbreaks of avian influenza. Close contact with infected animals, especially in regions with a high prevalence of brucellosis, poses a risk to farmers and livestock handlers .[50] Wildlife can serve as reservoirs for zoonotic pathogens, and interactions between domesticated animals, humans, and wildlife create opportunities for disease spillover.[7] The use of

antimicrobials in agriculture, such as antibiotics in livestock, can contribute to the development of drug-resistant strains of pathogens, making zoonotic diseases more challenging to treat.[2] Agricultural products and animals are often traded globally, facilitating the spread of zoonotic diseases across borders. International movement of animals and animal products increases the risk of introducing and spreading infectious agents.[11] Government-led vaccination programs play a crucial role in preventing the spread of zoonotic diseases in livestock populations. Implementing robust systems for monitoring and reporting zoonotic diseases helps in early detection and control.[51]

Environmental Transmission

Contaminated environments, such as water or soil, can serve as reservoirs for zoonotic agents. Humans can become infected by coming into contact with these contaminated environments or by consuming contaminated water or food[7] Farmers often have direct contact with livestock, such as cattle, poultry, and pigs, which can carry zoonotic pathogens.[7] Improper handling of animal waste and manure can expose farmers to pathogens present in the feces of infected animals.[52] Water sources on farms can become contaminated with zoonotic pathogens, especially when animals have access to water bodies.[53] Farmers may be exposed to zoonotic diseases transmitted by vectors such as mosquitoes and ticks that infest livestock.[54] Butchering and consumption of meat from infected animals can pose a risk of zoonotic disease transmission.[55] Certain farming practices, such as assisting in animal births or handling sick animals, may increase the risk of zoonotic transmission.[56] Farmers involved in the trade of animals may be exposed to zoonotic pathogens in crowded marketplaces.[47]

Case Studies in India

In May 2018, Kerala faced an outbreak of Nipah virus, a zoonotic disease transmitted from animals to humans. The initial cases were linked to contact with infected fruit bats and consumption of contaminated fruits.[57] India has experienced several outbreaks of avian influenza, affecting both poultry and humans in some cases. Bird flu is primarily a zoonotic disease that can be transmitted to humans through direct contact with infected birds or their droppings.[58] Influenza A (H1N1), commonly known as swine flu, is another zoonotic disease that has affected both humans and pigs in India. Transmission often occurs through close contact with infected pigs or their environments .[59] Brucellosis is a bacterial zoonotic disease affecting livestock, and it can be transmitted to humans through the consumption of contaminated dairy products or direct contact with infected animals. In India, outbreaks of brucellosis have been reported, impacting both animal and human health.[60]

Impact on Farmer Health and Livelihoods

Health of Farmers and Their Families

The agricultural practices, livestock management, and the close proximity of humans to animals in many farming communities create an environment where zoonotic diseases can easily spread. Here are some health consequences for farmers and their families. As farmers working closely with livestock are at an increased risk of direct transmission of zoonotic diseases. Contact with infected animals or their bodily fluids can lead to diseases such as brucellosis, leptospirosis, and Q fever.[7] Farming activities may create suitable environments for vectors, such as mosquitoes and ticks, that can transmit diseases like West Nile virus, Lyme disease, and Japanese encephalitis .[61] Handling and consumption of contaminated food products from infected animals can result in foodborne zoonosis, such as salmonellosis and campylobacteriosis 62Prolonged exposure to dust, animal waste, and other contaminants during farming activities may lead to respiratory issues, skin infections, and other occupational health hazards .[63] Rural farming communities in India may face challenges in accessing healthcare facilities, leading to delayed diagnosis and treatment of zoonotic diseases .[64] Inadequate sanitation and hygiene practices in rural areas can contribute to the spread of zoonotic diseases. Farmers may lack access to clean water and proper waste disposal facilities, increasing the risk of contamination.[65, 66] Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) strains associated with livestock can cause infections in humans. Farmers in close contact with animals may be at a higher risk of MRSA colonization and infection.[67] Zoonotic diseases can lead to increased healthcare costs for farmers and their families. Treatment expenses and the loss of productivity due to illness can have a significant economic impact on farming households.[68] Children in farming families may be particularly vulnerable to zoonotic diseases due to their close contact with animals and the environment. This can lead to developmental issues and long-term health consequences.[69] Constant exposure to the risk of zoonotic diseases can lead to stress and anxiety among farmers and their families. The fear of illness and economic uncertainty can have long-term psychosocial consequences.[70]

Zoonotic Diseases and Agriculture

The economic impact of zoonotic diseases on agricultural productivity is a significant concern globally, including in India. In the context of Indian farming, where agriculture plays a crucial role in the economy and livelihoods of millions, the implications of zoonotic diseases are profound. Zoonotic diseases often affect livestock, leading to direct losses in terms of reduced productivity, morbidity, and mortality. For example, diseases like brucellosis, anthrax,

and avian influenza can lead to decreased milk production, loss of meat, and reduced egg production in animals, impacting the income of farmers.[71] These diseases can also affect agricultural productivity indirectly by influencing crop-livestock interactions. For instance, the spread of certain diseases may limit the use of animal manure as fertilizer, impacting soil fertility and crop yields.[46] The diseases can also impact the health of the agricultural workforce. When farmers or farmworkers contract these diseases, it can lead to a reduction in the available labor force, affecting farm operations and productivity.[72] The presence of zoonotic diseases can affect market access for agricultural products. Trade restrictions may be imposed on countries with a high prevalence of such diseases, impacting the export of agricultural commodities.[73] Such diseases can strain public health systems, leading to increased healthcare expenditures. This can divert resources away from other essential sectors, including agriculture.[74]

Challenges In Treatment in Rural Areas

Access to healthcare and treatment in rural areas, particularly in the context of zoonotic disease risks in Indian farming, faces several challenges. These challenges contribute to the increased vulnerability of rural populations to zoonotic diseases. Rural areas often lack adequate healthcare infrastructure, including hospitals, clinics, and diagnostic facilities, making it difficult for residents to access timely medical care.[75] Those areas frequently experience a shortage of healthcare professionals, including doctors and nurses, leading to a lack of skilled personnel to address health concerns.[76] Lack of awareness about zoonotic diseases, preventive measures, and the importance of seeking timely medical attention can contribute to the spread of diseases.[77] Economic constraints, coupled with high out-of-pocket healthcare expenses, can prevent individuals in rural areas from seeking appropriate medical care.[78] Zoonotic diseases often originate in animals. Limited access to veterinary services in rural areas may result in inadequate control and prevention measures at the animal-human interface .[79] Inadequate sanitation and hygiene practices in rural areas can facilitate the transmission of zoonotic diseases. Lack of clean water sources and sanitation infrastructure contributes to the spread of infections.[80]

Factors Effective Zoonotic Disease Transmission

Poor sanitation and hygiene practices in Indian farming can significantly contribute to the risks of zoonotic diseases. In the context of Indian farming, where close proximity between humans and animals is common, inadequate sanitation and hygiene practices can create a conducive environment for the transmission of such diseases. Several factors contribute to this risk. In many rural areas of India, farming activities rely on water sources that may be contaminated with animal waste, pesticides, and other pollutants.[81] Improper disposal of animal waste and carcasses can lead to the spread of pathogens. Inadequate waste management practices may expose farmers and their communities to zoonotic agents.[82] Limited access to proper sanitation facilities and poor personal hygiene practices among farmers can contribute to the transmission of zoonotic diseases.[83] The inappropriate use of antibiotics in livestock farming can lead to the development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, posing a serious threat to both animal and human health [84] Traditional farming practices in India often involve close contact between humans and animals, increasing the likelihood of zoonotic disease transmission.[2]

Climate Change and Its Impact on Disease

Climate change has profound implications for the spread of infectious diseases, particularly those that are transmitted through vectors such as mosquitoes and ticks. Zoonotic diseases, which are infections that can be transmitted between animals and humans, are of particular concern in the context of climate change. In the case of Indian farming, where close interactions between humans, livestock, and wildlife occur, the risks of zoonotic disease transmission are heightened. Such as rising temperatures associated with climate change can affect the geographical distribution of disease vectors. For instance, the range of mosquitoes carrying diseases such as dengue and malaria may expand to new areas as temperatures rise.[85] Changes in precipitation patterns can influence vector breeding habitats. Excessive rainfall or extended periods of drought can create conducive environments for the proliferation or persistence of disease vectors.[86] Climate change can alter agroecosystems, impacting the distribution and behavior of wildlife. This can increase the risk of spillover events, where pathogens move from animals to humans.[2] Implementing a One Health approach, which recognizes the interconnectedness of human, animal, and environmental health, is crucial for addressing zoonotic disease risks in Indian farming.[87] Promoting climate-resilient farming practices can help mitigate the impact of climate change on agriculture and reduce the risk of zoonotic diseases.[88] It's important to note that ongoing research and monitoring are essential to understand the dynamic interactions between climate change, disease vectors, and zoonotic disease risks in the specific context of Indian farming.

Urbanization and Habitat Encroachment

Urbanization and encroachment on wildlife habitats in the context of zoonotic disease risks in Indian farming are significant factors that can contribute to the emergence and spread of diseases. Urbanization and habitat encroachment, can create conditions favorable for the transmission of such diseases. Urbanization often leads to the destruction and fragmentation of natural habitats. As urban areas

expand, they encroach upon wildlife habitats, resulting in the loss of biodiversity. This loss of biodiversity can disrupt ecosystems and increase the risk of zoonotic disease transmission.[89] Urbanization alters the ecological dynamics of an area, bringing humans into closer contact with wildlife. This proximity can facilitate the transmission of diseases between animals and humans.[90] In India, rapid urbanization has driven changes in agricultural practices, leading to intensive farming. Practices such as high-density livestock farming and monoculture can create conditions conducive to the emergence and spread of zoonotic diseases.[7] As urban areas expand, there is increased contact between domesticated animals and wildlife. This interface can facilitate the transmission of diseases from wildlife to livestock and, subsequently, to humans.[52] Implementing a One Health approach that integrates human, animal, and environmental health is crucial for addressing zoonotic disease risks. This involves collaboration between various sectors such as health, agriculture, and environment. [91] Besides Incorporating principles of sustainable urban planning that consider wildlife conservation and habitat protection can help mitigate the impact of urbanization on zoonotic disease risks.[92]

One Health Approach in India

The One Health concept is a holistic approach that recognizes the interconnectedness of human health, animal health, and the environment. It emphasizes the collaboration and integration of multiple disciplines, including human medicine, veterinary medicine, environmental science, and other related fields, to address health issues at the intersection of humans, animals, and the environment. Zoonotic diseases are infections that can be transmitted between animals and humans. Many infectious diseases, such as Ebola, SARS, and COVID-19, have their origins in animals. The One Health approach is crucial in addressing zoonotic diseases for several reasons.[93] Humans, animals, and the environment are interconnected systems. Changes in one can impact the others. The spread of zoonotic diseases often involves complex interactions between these systems.[94]

One Health facilitates early detection of potential outbreaks by monitoring and understanding the health of animals in addition to humans. This proactive approach enables preventive measures before diseases can escalate. The overuse of antibiotics in both human and veterinary medicine contributes to antibiotic resistance. One Health promotes responsible use of antibiotics to mitigate the risk of drug-resistant infections.[7] Environmental factors play a significant role in the emergence and spread of zoonotic diseases. Deforestation, climate change, and other environmental changes can alter the distribution of disease vectors and reservoirs.[95] Zoonotic diseases require collaboration across various sectors, including human health, animal health, agriculture, and environmental science. The One Health approach encourages interdisciplinary cooperation to tackle these complex challenges[7]

Government, NGO and One Health Approach

The NAP-AMR aims to address the rising concerns of antimicrobial resistance, which is closely linked to the use of antibiotics in both human and animal health.[96] National Centre for Disease Control (NCDC) plays a crucial role in infection surveillance and control in India. It actively participates in monitoring and managing zoonotic diseases .[97] WHO collaborates with the Indian government on various health initiatives including those related to zoonotic diseases. They often provide technical assistance and guidelines. Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) is involved in research and development in the field of agriculture. Their efforts include studying and addressing zoonotic disease risks associated with farming practices .[98] FAO works globally to address food and agriculture-related challenges. In India, they collaborate on projects and initiatives that focus on sustainable agriculture and food safety.[99] Indian Veterinary Research Institute (IVRI) is involved in veterinary research and education. They contribute to understanding and mitigating zoonotic disease risks associated with livestock and farming.[100] Livestock Health and Disease Control (LHDC) under the Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying the LHDC department works on policies and programs related to livestock health, which includes measures to prevent and control zoonotic diseases.[101]

The state of Kerala in India has been recognized for its One Health approach, which integrates human, animal, and environmental health. The Kerala One Health Coordination Centre has been working to address zoonotic diseases by fostering collaboration among health, agriculture, and environmental agencies.[102] West Bengal faced outbreaks of avian influenza (bird flu), and successful containment strategies were implemented. Rapid response teams, culling of affected birds, and public awareness campaigns played a crucial role in controlling the spread.[103] Early detection of zoonotic diseases is crucial for effective control. Surveillance systems need to be strengthened at the human-animal-environment interface to identify and respond to potential outbreaks promptly.[104] Involving local communities in disease prevention and control efforts is essential. Educating farmers and communities about the risks of zoonotic diseases, proper hygiene practices, and responsible farming can contribute to reducing transmission .[105] Formulating and implementing policies that facilitate collaboration among different sectors such as health,

agriculture, and environment is critical. A holistic, One Health approach can help address the complex nature of zoonotic diseases.[106]

Mitigation and Prevention Strategies

Vaccination and disease surveillance play crucial roles in mitigating the risks of zoonotic diseases in livestock farming in India. Livestock, being in close proximity to humans, can serve as reservoirs for various zoonotic pathogens. Implementing effective vaccination programs and robust disease surveillance systems are essential strategies to prevent the emergence and spread of zoonotic diseases. Vaccination is a key tool in preventing the transmission of zoonotic diseases from livestock to humans. By vaccinating animals against specific pathogens, the risk of these diseases spreading to humans is significantly reduced.[7] Zoonotic diseases not only affect public health but also have economic implications for the livestock industry. Disease outbreaks can lead to significant economic losses due to reduced productivity, trade restrictions, and increased healthcare costs.[107] These diseases can contaminate animal products, compromising food safety. Vaccination helps in ensuring that animal products like meat and dairy are free from zoonotic pathogens, contributing to safer food consumption. Disease surveillance in livestock is integral to the "One Health" approach, which recognizes the interconnectedness of human, animal, and environmental health. Monitoring diseases in livestock provides early warning signs of potential outbreaks that could affect both animals and humans.[108] Many countries, including India, have implemented national livestock health programs that include vaccination campaigns and disease surveillance. These programs aim to control and eradicate specific diseases, reducing the overall zoonotic disease burden.[109]

Education and Awareness

Education and awareness campaigns play a crucial role in mitigating the risks of zoonotic diseases in Indian farming. Given the close interaction between humans and animals in agricultural settings, there is a heightened risk of zoonotic disease transmission. Farmers need to be educated about the concept of zoonotic diseases, their causes, and how they can be transmitted between animals and humans.[7] Awareness campaigns can help farmers identify potential zoonotic disease risks on their farms. Education on preventive measures, such as proper hygiene practices, vaccination, and regular health monitoring of both animals and humans, is crucial.[110] Educating farmers on improved livestock management practices, including proper housing, waste disposal, and feeding practices, can help reduce the risk of disease transmission.[111] Awareness campaigns should highlight the potential role of wild animals in the transmission of zoonotic diseases and the importance of minimizing contact between domestic animals and wildlife .[47] Farmers should be informed about government policies related to zoonotic disease prevention and the support systems available to them.[112] Encouraging community involvement and collaboration in disease surveillance and reporting can enhance the effectiveness of prevention measures.[113] Education campaigns should emphasize the importance of seeking prompt medical attention in case of illness, both for humans and animals.[114] Farmers should be made aware of the economic impact of zoonotic diseases on agriculture and the broader economy, emphasizing the long-term benefits of preventive measures.[115]

Hygiene and Biosecurity

Hygiene and biosecurity measures play a crucial role in agriculture, particularly in the context of zoonotic disease risks in Indian farming. Proper hygiene and biosecurity practices are essential to minimize the risk of zoonotic disease outbreaks in the agricultural sector. Some key points emphasizing their importance such as Hygiene practices such as proper sanitation, waste disposal, and cleaning of farming facilities can help prevent the spread of diseases among animals and reduce the risk of transmission to humans.[7] Biosecurity measures, including restricted access to farms, controlled movement of animals, and quarantine protocols, are critical in preventing the introduction and spread of infectious agents. Zoonotic diseases can have severe public health implications. Implementation of hygiene and biosecurity measures in agriculture safeguards the health of farmers, farmworkers, and nearby communities.[7] Zoonotic disease outbreaks can have significant economic repercussions on the agricultural sector, leading to losses in livestock productivity and trade restrictions. Hygiene and biosecurity measures help in maintaining a healthy and productive livestock population.[116] Adherence to hygiene and biosecurity standards is often mandated by government regulations. Compliance with these standards is essential for farmers to meet market requirements and ensure the safety of agricultural products.[116] The One Health approach recognizes the interconnectedness of human, animal, and environmental health. Implementing hygiene and biosecurity measures in agriculture aligns with the One Health framework, promoting holistic strategies to address zoonotic disease risks.[40]

Challenges and Barriers

Socioeconomic Challenges

In the context of Indian farming, several socioeconomic challenges hinder effective control of zoonotic diseases. It's essential to understand the specific factors that contribute to the spread of zoonotic diseases in this setting. In many parts of India, there is a lack of adequate veterinary infrastructure and services. This includes insufficient veterinary clinics, trained personnel, and diagnostic facilities, which hampers

the timely detection and control of zoonotic diseases .[7] Traditional livestock farming practices, such as free-range grazing and mixed animal husbandry, contribute to increased contact between different species, facilitating the transmission of zoonotic pathogens.[117] Farmers and rural communities often have limited awareness of zoonotic diseases and their transmission pathways. This lack of knowledge may result in suboptimal preventive measures and delays in seeking medical attention.[46] Socioeconomic factors, such as poverty, play a role in zoonotic disease transmission. Low-income communities may be unable to invest in proper sanitation and hygiene practices, increasing the risk of disease transmission.[117] As urbanization increases, there is a growing demand for animal products, leading to intensified and often unsustainable farming practices. This can create environments conducive to the emergence and spread of zoonotic diseases[52]

The interconnectedness of global markets can contribute to the rapid spread of zoonotic diseases. The movement of animals and animal products across borders without adequate biosecurity measures can lead to the introduction of pathogens into new regions.[118] Changes in climate patterns can affect the distribution and behavior of disease vectors, influencing the spread of zoonotic diseases. This is particularly relevant in agriculture-dependent economies like India.[119] Weak regulatory frameworks and enforcement of animal health policies can hinder effective zoonotic disease control. There is a need for coordinated efforts at the national and regional levels to address these governance challenges.[120] Addressing these challenges requires a multidisciplinary approach that combines veterinary medicine, public health, education, and policy interventions. Collaboration between government agencies, international organizations, and local communities is crucial to implement sustainable strategies for zoonotic disease control in the context of Indian farming.

Cultural Practices and Disease Prevention

Cultural practices and beliefs play a significant role in shaping behaviors related to disease prevention, particularly in the context of zoonotic disease risks in Indian farming. Understanding the cultural dimensions is crucial for designing effective interventions and strategies to mitigate these risks. In many Indian communities, livestock is not just a source of income but also a symbol of wealth and prestige. Farmers may resist certain disease prevention measures, such as culling infected animals, due to the cultural significance attached to them. This can hinder efforts to control zoonotic diseases at their source.[46] Religious beliefs and practices can influence interactions with animals. For example, certain rituals involve close contact with animals, potentially increasing the risk of disease transmission. Understanding and respecting these cultural practices are essential for implementing disease prevention measures that are culturally sensitive .[65] Traditional medicine plays a significant role in many Indian communities. Some farmers may rely on traditional remedies for treating livestock illnesses rather than seeking professional veterinary care. Integrating traditional knowledge with modern veterinary practices can help in promoting healthier practices without disregarding cultural beliefs.[46] Water is often considered sacred in many Indian cultures, influencing water management practices. However, contaminated water sources can contribute to the spread of zoonotic diseases. Promoting culturally acceptable water purification methods and hygiene practices can help mitigate this risk.[82]

Cultural beliefs may influence the level of awareness and understanding of zoonotic diseases. Efforts to educate farmers about the risks and preventive measures should be tailored to address cultural perspectives. Community engagement and involvement of local leaders can enhance the acceptance of new practices.[47] Community dynamics and social structures play a crucial role in shaping behavior. Leveraging community leaders and influencers to promote disease prevention measures can be more effective than top-down approaches. Building trust and involving communities in decision-making processes are key elements of successful interventions.[117] Cultural considerations should be integrated into government policies and interventions related to agriculture and public health. A collaborative approach involving policymakers, scientists, and local communities is essential for the successful implementation of disease prevention strategies.[121]

Policy and Regulatory Frameworks

Regulations Related to Zoonotic Disease

Prevention and Control of Infectious and Contagious Diseases in Animals Act, 2009: This act provides a legal framework for controlling and preventing the spread of infectious and contagious diseases among animals. It is crucial for preventing zoonotic diseases from spreading within livestock populations. India has been actively working on addressing antimicrobial resistance, which is closely linked to zoonotic diseases. The NAP-AMR National Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance (NAP-AMR) aims to curb the misuse of antibiotics in both human and animal health, reducing the risk of zoonotic infections. Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI) regulates food safety and hygiene standards in India. It plays a crucial role in preventing foodborne zoonotic diseases by ensuring the safety of food products, including those of animal origin. The government has various insurance schemes for livestock, which help in the prevention and control of diseases. These schemes often include provisions for vaccination and disease control measures, indirectly contributing to

zoonotic disease control. National Livestock Mission (NLM) is launched by the Ministry of Agriculture, NLM aims to ensure quantitative and qualitative improvement in livestock production systems and capacity building of stakeholders. Disease control, including zoonotic diseases, is a component of this mission. Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 was passed so to Zoonotic diseases can also be transmitted from wildlife to domestic animals and humans. The Wildlife Protection Act is designed to protect wildlife, and its implementation indirectly contributes to controlling the spread of zoonotic diseases. It's important to note that these regulations and policies are interconnected and work together to address zoonotic disease risks. Additionally, state-specific regulations and guidelines may exist to address regional variations in disease prevalence.

Policies and International Cooperation

In the context of Indian farming, where the human-animal interface is extensive, there is a pressing need for updated policies and enhanced international cooperation to address the risks associated with zoonotic diseases. Several factors contribute to this imperative, and addressing them requires a comprehensive approach as India has a diverse agricultural landscape with various farming practices, ranging from small-scale traditional farming to large-scale commercial operations. This diversity increases the likelihood of interactions between humans, domestic animals, and wildlife, creating opportunities for the transmission of zoonotic pathogens.[122] Inadequate surveillance and reporting mechanisms for zoonotic diseases in Indian farming make it challenging to detect and respond to outbreaks promptly. Strengthening these systems is crucial for early intervention and containment .[123] The interconnectedness of the global economy and the international trade of animals and animal products increase the risk of the spread of zoonotic diseases across borders. Robust international cooperation is essential to monitor and regulate these movements.[52] Changes in climate patterns and ecological disruptions can influence the distribution and behavior of vectors and reservoirs of zoonotic diseases. Updated policies should incorporate strategies to mitigate the impact of climate change on disease emergence.[124] Policies should emphasize the importance of capacity building and research to enhance the understanding of zoonotic diseases in the context of Indian farming. This includes investing in training programs, laboratory infrastructure, and collaborative research initiatives.[47]

Future Directions and Research

There is a need for improved surveillance systems to monitor zoonotic diseases at the human-animal-environment interface in Indian farming practices. Enhancing early detection capabilities can contribute to rapid response and containment.[123] More research is required to understand the dynamics of zoonotic disease transmission from animals to humans, especially in the context of specific farming practices in different regions of India.[125] Identifying and quantifying the risk factors and drivers of zoonotic diseases in Indian farming is crucial. This includes studying the impact of agricultural intensification, land-use changes, and socio-economic factors.[7] Investigate the link between antimicrobial use in Indian agriculture and the development of antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic pathogens. This includes understanding the prevalence of AMR in farm animals and its potential transmission to humans.[126] Explore the role of cultural practices, traditional medicine, and behavioral aspects that may contribute to zoonotic disease transmission and persistence in certain communities.[127] Strengthen interdisciplinary collaboration between human health, veterinary, and environmental sectors to enhance the capacity for zoonotic disease research and control.[128] There is a need to strengthen surveillance and reporting systems for zoonotic diseases in Indian farming. Improving the early detection of outbreaks can help in implementing timely interventions.[129]

More research is required to understand the dynamics of zoonotic disease transmission between animals and humans in the Indian farming context. This includes studying factors such as contact patterns, host reservoirs, and environmental influences.[130] Investigating the interface between livestock, domestic animals, and wildlife is crucial. Many zoonotic diseases have a wildlife reservoir, and understanding these interactions is vital for disease prevention.[2] There is a need to explore the link between antimicrobial use in Indian farming practices and the development of antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic pathogens.[131] Research should delve into the socio-economic factors influencing zoonotic disease transmission, including the impact of farming practices, living conditions, and cultural behaviors.[7] Implementing and assessing the effectiveness of integrated One Health approaches that involve collaboration between human, animal, and environmental health sectors to address zoonotic disease risks.[87] Investigating the potential impact of climate change on the prevalence and distribution of zoonotic diseases in Indian farming systems.[132] Assessing the effectiveness of public awareness and education programs in mitigating zoonotic disease risks and promoting safe farming practices.[7]

Technological Advancements and Tools

Monitoring and controlling these diseases require the integration of technological advancements and tools. Here are some key aspects to explore in the context of zoonotic disease risks in Indian farming. Implementing advanced surveillance systems using technologies like Geographic Information System (GIS), remote sensing, and big data analytics can enhance the monitoring of

zoonotic disease hotspots.[45] Molecular diagnostic tools, such as PCR assays and next-generation sequencing, enable rapid and accurate identification of zoonotic pathogens in both animals and humans.[2] Adopting a One Health approach that integrates human, animal, and environmental health data to provide a holistic understanding of zoonotic disease dynamics.[93] Utilizing telemedicine and mobile health applications for remote consultation and monitoring of livestock health, reducing the risk of zoonotic disease transmission.[133] Developing and implementing advanced vaccination strategies for both animals and humans to prevent the spread of zoonotic diseases.[134] Promoting data sharing and collaboration among different stakeholders, including government agencies, researchers, and healthcare professionals, to enhance the overall response to zoonotic disease outbreaks.[135] Investing in training programs and capacity building for veterinarians, healthcare workers, and farmers to improve their awareness and response capabilities.[7]

Interdisciplinary Research

Interdisciplinary research helps in comprehensively understanding the pathways through which zoonotic diseases are transmitted from animals to humans. This involves collaboration between veterinarians, epidemiologists, ecologists, and agricultural scientists to study the interactions between livestock, wildlife, and humans in farming environments. By identifying these pathways, interventions can be developed to disrupt transmission.[2] Such researches in zoonotic disease prevention employs the One Health framework, bringing together experts from diverse fields such as medicine, veterinary science, environmental science, and social sciences to address health challenges at the human-animal-environment interface.[44] Interdisciplinary teams are essential for developing effective surveillance systems for early detection of zoonotic diseases. Collaboration between microbiologists, data scientists, and public health experts enables the establishment of monitoring systems that can track disease patterns in both animal and human populations.[136] The research allows for a comprehensive risk assessment of zoonotic disease emergence in the specific context of Indian farming. By integrating insights from agronomy, sociology, and veterinary science, researchers can identify risk factors and develop mitigation strategies that are culturally and contextually relevant.[7] Collaborations between researchers, policymakers, and social scientists are crucial for developing and implementing effective policies for zoonotic disease prevention. This interdisciplinary approach ensures that policies consider not only the biological aspects of disease transmission but also the socio-economic and cultural factors influencing disease dynamics.[137]

Conclusion

Zoonotic diseases, transmitted from animals to humans, pose a significant threat to farmers in India, affecting both their health and livelihoods. Diseases like brucellosis and avian influenza can lead to livestock losses, reducing income and food security. Farmers often lack awareness and resources for proper disease prevention, increasing their vulnerability. Zoonotic outbreaks also disrupt trade and agriculture, impacting the entire value chain. In addition to economic challenges, farmers face health risks, with limited access to healthcare exacerbating the impact. Addressing zoonotic diseases requires comprehensive strategies, including improved animal health management, awareness programs, and enhanced healthcare access for farmers. Mitigating these impacts is crucial for the well-being of both farmers and the broader agricultural sector in India. Investing in research, policy development, and public engagement is not just a proactive approach; it's a crucial imperative in mitigating zoonotic disease risks in agriculture. By delving into interdisciplinary research, we unlock the mysteries of pathogen transmission, enabling us to develop targeted interventions. Robust policies act as the backbone, establishing guidelines for sustainable farming practices, surveillance, and rapid response mechanisms. Public engagement ensures a collective understanding, fostering a culture of responsible agriculture. Through research, we unearth innovative solutions like precision farming, sustainable intensification, and advanced diagnostics that can minimize disease vectors. Well-crafted policies empower governments to enforce regulations, incentivize best practices, and penalize deviations. Public engagement builds a knowledgeable community that actively participates in disease monitoring, reporting, and adopting biosecurity measures. Investing in these pillars not only safeguards human health but also ensures food security and sustains economies. It's a holistic approach that fortifies the delicate balance between human and animal ecosystems. Let's champion further research, policy development, and public engagement as the bedrock of a resilient and harmonious coexistence between agriculture and public health.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

- **Acknowledgments:** I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to Dr. Gayathri Venkatesan and Ms. Nahid Abda for their invaluable support and encouragement throughout the research process.
- **Disclosure of Conflict of Interest:** The author of this article declares that he has no conflicts of interest that could potentially influence the results or interpretations presented herein.

References

1. L. S. W. M. Taylor LH, "Risk factors for human disease emergence." Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B," Biological Sciences, vol. 356(1411), pp. 983-989, 2001.
2. P. N. L. M. e. a. Jones KE, "Global trends in emerging infectious diseases.," Nature, vol. 451(7181), pp. 990-993, 2008.
3. W. H. Organization, "Zoonoses," 2020.
4. FDA, "The FAO Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance 2016-2020.," 2011.
5. WHO, " Zoonoses. Accessed on November 12, 2023.," 2018.
6. B. B. K. M. S. &. G. J. P. S. Singh, "Dhand, N. K. Estimation of the health and economic burden of human brucellosis in India.," Preventive Veterinary Medicine, Vols. 119(3-4), pp. 211-217, 2015.
7. D. M. F. O. P. e. a. Grace, "Mapping of poverty and likely zoonoses hotspots. Zoonoses Project 4. Report to the UK Department for International Development.," International Livestock Research Institute.Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2020). Zoonotic Diseases. Accessed on November 12, 2023., 2012.
8. S. &. S. P. Ghatak, "Agriculture, Human Health, and Zoonoses.," Zoonoses Academic Press, pp. 143-156, 2018.
9. NCDC, " Integrated Disease Surveillance Programme.," Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India., 2020.
10. WHO, Zoonoses, 2020.
11. WHO, "Zoonoses," 2019.
12. P. L., ""Sur le traitement de la rage." Comptes rendus de l'," Académie des sciences, vol. 101, pp. 765-774, 1885.
13. B. D, "Note on the discovery of a micro-organism in Malta fever," Practitioner, vol. 39(234), pp. 161-167, 1887.
14. M. L. Nicolle C, "Sur une infection à corps de Leishman (ou organismes voisins) du gondi.," Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences, vol. 147, pp. 763-766, 1908.
15. L. R., "Zur Naturgeschichte des Finnen oder Blasenwurmes (Cysticercus cellulosae)." Archiv für Anatomie," Physiologie und wissenschaftliche Medicin, pp. 181-203, 1850.
16. R. A, "Storia del generico echinococcus," Giornale di medicina pratica di Forli, vol. 3, pp. 219-226, 1800.
17. S. K., "Neutralizing antibodies against certain recently isolated viruses in the sera of human beings residing in East Africa.," Journal of Immunology, vol. 69(2), pp. 223-234, 1952.
18. Y. A, "La peste bubonique à Hong-Kong.," Annales de l'Institut Pasteur, vol. 8(9), pp. 662-667, 1894.
19. I. Y. H. R. K. R. I. H. Inada R, "The etiology, mode of infection, and specific therapy of Weil's disease (spirochaetosis icterohaemorrhagica)," Journal of Experimental Medicine, vol. 23(4), pp. 377-402, 1916.
20. T. H. T. A. T. T. Kawamura Jr A, "Serological classification of the Karp, Gilliam, and Kato strains of Rickettsia tsutsugamushi.," Journal of Immunology, vol. 19(5), pp. 309-313, 1930.
21. T. H. Work TH, "Kyasanur Forest disease: a new virus disease in India.," Indian Journal of Medical Science, vol. 11(8), pp. 341-345, 1957.
22. G. K. W. K. e. a. Chua KB, "Fatal encephalitis due to Nipah virus among pig-farmers in Malaysia," The Lancet, vol. 354(9186), pp. 1257-1259, 1999.
23. D. C., "Sur l'épidémie charbonneuse, produite par l'inoculation de la sangsue.," Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences, vol. 56, pp. 102-105, 1863.
24. K. R., "Die Aetiologie der Tuberculose," Berliner klinische Wochenschrift, vol. 15(15), pp. 221-230, 1882.
25. D. C. R. C. e. a. Garten RJ, "Antigenic and genetic characteristics of swine-origin 2009 A(H1N1) influenza viruses circulating in humans," Science, vol. 325(5937), pp. 197-201, 2009.
26. e. a. Kandun IN, "Three Indonesian clusters of H5N1 virus infection in 2005.," New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 355(21), pp. 2186-2194, 2006.
27. B. W. G. O. C. T. K. Y. Webster RG, "Evolution and ecology of influenza A viruses," Microbiological Reviews, vol. 56(1), pp. 152-179, 1992.
28. M. o. S. a. P. I. G. o. India, " National Accounts Statistics," 2019-20.
29. S. Rashid, "Employment and Income Generation in Livestock Sector of Jammu and Kashmir," International Journal of Livestock Research, vol. 7(2), pp. 1-12, 2017.
30. P. S. J. P. K. &. G. A. Birthal, "Diversification in Indian Agriculture towards High-Value Crops: The Role of Smallholders.," International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) Research Report 139, 2005.
31. A. &. J. P. K. Thorat, "Livestock and Livelihoods of Smallholder Farmers in India: Contributions to Inclusive Growth.," National Centre for Agricultural Economics and Policy Research (NCAP), Working Paper No. 106, 2013.
32. D. &. C. D. Roy, "Impact of Integrated Farming System on Agricultural Sustainability in India," Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences, vol. 3(5), pp. 470-477, 2015.
33. A. &. S. S. Gulati, "Livestock Sector in India: Contemporary Issues and Perspectives," Agricultural Economics Research Review, vol. 27(2), pp. 271-287, 2014.
34. D. M. &. S. L. J. Warren, "The Cultural Dimension of Development: Indigenous Knowledge Systems.," Intermediate Technology Publications, 1987.
35. M. T. P. K. N. A. M. W. S. M. S. F. H. A. .. &. O. A. Herrero, "Smart Investments in Sustainable Food Production:

Revisiting Mixed Crop-Livestock Systems," Science, vol. 327(5967), pp. 822-825, 2010.
36. M. E. Fernández-Giménez, "Spatial and social boundaries and the paradox of pastoral land tenure: A case study from postsocialist Mongolia.," Human Ecology, vol. 30(1), pp. 49-78, 2002.
37. USDA, "Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs)," 2019.
38. C. M. K. H. H. A. J. M. B. D. I. G. &. K. H. A. N. N. E. Wathes, "Precision livestock farming: A suite of electronic technologies to monitor individual animal performance.," Livestock Science, Vols. 116(1-3), pp. 9-18, 2008.
39. A. L. &. Y. A. E. Van Eenennaam, "Prevalence and impacts of genetically engineered feedstuffs on livestock populations," Journal of Animal Science, vol. 92(10), pp. 4255-4278, 2014.
40. FAO, "The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018 - Meeting the sustainable development goals," Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2018.
41. P. K. Thornton, "Livestock production: recent trends, future prospects. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:," Biological Sciences, vol. 365(1554), p. 2853–2867, 2010.
42. S. C. &. W. J. A. Bishop, "Genomics and disease resistance studies in livestock.," Livestock Science, vol. 166, p. 190–198, 2014.
43. R. R. &. D. L. Kao, "Lessons from foot-and-mouth disease modelling.," Revue Scientifique et Technique (International Office of Epizootics), vol. 25(1), p. 31–39, 2006.
44. J. S. E. W.-T. D. T. M. &. B. S. Zinsstag, "From "one medicine" to "one health" and systemic approaches to health and well-being.," Preventive Veterinary Medicine, vol. 126, p. 148–156, 2015.
45. P. C. A. A. &. H. A. D. Daszak, "Emerging infectious diseases of wildlife--threats to biodiversity and human health," Science, vol. 287(5452), p. 443–449, 2000.
46. D. Grace, " Review of evidence on antimicrobial resistance and animal agriculture in developing countries," International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), 2015.
47. W. B. D. A. L.-S. J. O. e. a. Karesh, "Ecology of zoonoses: natural and unnatural histories," The Lancet, vol. 380(9857), p. 1936–1945, 2012.
48. FAO, "The Second Report on the State of the World's Animal Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture," Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations., 2015.
49. D. Grace, "The business case for One Health," Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research, vol. 82(2), pp. 1-7, 2015.
50. H. H. M. A. B. N. A. &. N. N. Rahman, "Zoonotic diseases: Etiology, impact, and control," Microbes and Infection, vol. 12(7), pp. 504-517, 2010.
51. DAHD, "National Animal Disease Control Programme (NADCP).," Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying Government of India., 2021.
52. B. G. D. K. R. e. a. Jones, "Zoonosis emergence linked to agricultural intensification and environmental change," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 110(21), p. 8399–8404, 2013.
53. S. e. a. Ahmed, "Water quality assessment in rural areas of Bangladesh: A health risk perspective," Environmental Pollution, vol. 250, pp. 907-916, 2019.
54. B. &. S. B. Chomel, "Zoonoses in the Bedroom," Emerging Infectious Diseases, vol. 17(2), pp. 167-172, 2011.
55. FAO, "Good practices for biosecurity in the pig sector – Issues and options in developing and transition countries," FAO Animal Production and Health Paper No. 169., 2007.
56. P. e. a. Rabinowitz, "Working Group on Land Use Change and Disease Emergence," 2008.
57. e. a. Arunkumar G, "Nipah encephalitis outbreak in Kerala, India 2018," Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2019.
58. e. a. Dandapat P, "Outbreak of highly pathogenic avian influenza (subtype H5N1) in pigeon at Gandhi Zoological Park," India. Veterinary World, 2012.
59. e. a. Chadha MS, "Dynamics of influenza seasonality at sub-regional levels in India and implications for vaccination timing," PLoS One, 2015.
60. e. a. Mantur BG, " Brucella melitensis: a sexually transmissible agent," Emerging Infectious Diseases, 2007.
61. S. &. A. P. Ghosh, "Strategies for the control of Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus ticks in a world perspective.," Experimental & applied acarology, vol. 43(1), pp. 49-59, 2007.
62. A. H. K. M. D. T. P. R. G. H. J. H. T. L. R. J. .. &. D. B. Havelaar, "World Health Organization Global Estimates and Regional Comparisons of the Burden of Foodborne Disease in 2010.," PLoS Medicine, vol. 12(12), p. e1, 2015.
63. J. A. &. C. D. W. Dosman, "Occupational asthma in agriculture: an overview.," Occupational Medicine, vol. 54(7), pp. 431-436, 2004.
64. S. G. M. A. &. P. G. Kumar, "Health-seeking behavior, perceived social exclusion and dental impact on quality of life among the elderly in rural Karnataka, India.," Indian Journal of Dental Research, vol. 24(2), p. 236, 2013.
65. e. a. Chakraborty S, "Zoonoses in the backyard: a global overview.," Transbound Emerg Dis., vol. 66(4), pp. 1499-1512, 2019.

66. e. a. Bardosh K, "Zoonoses in Livestock in Developing Countries: A Systematic Review," PLoS ONE, vol. 12(9), p. e0184539, 2017.
67. e. a. Verkade E, "Livestock-associated MRSA carriage in patients without direct contact with livestock.," PLoS One., vol. 8(6), p. e61139, 2013.
68. G. D., "Review of evidence on antimicrobial resistance and animal agriculture in developing countries.," International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) Report., 2015.
69. e. a. Strachan NJC, "Q fever: a forgotten zoonosis in livestock in Great Britain.," Vet Rec., vol. 185(22), p. 693, 2019.
70. e. a. Lindahl JF, "The Complex Puzzle of Antimicrobial Resistance in the Food Chain and Its Environment.," Microorganisms, vol. 6(3), p. 94, 2018.
71. M. e. a. Rahman, "Economic impact of zoonoses in emerging economies: A case study of brucellosis in Bangladesh," Scientific Reports, vol. 8(1), p. 1018, 2018.
72. P. e. a. Chatterjee, "One Health approach to address zoonotic diseases in India: Advocacy and challenges," Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health, Vols. 8(1-2), pp. 40-43, 2018.
73. W. O. f. A. H. OIE, "OIE-World Organisation for Animal Health Annual Report.," 2020.
74. M. B. &. K. D. Sudharsanam, "Expenditure tracking and review of health and agriculture sectors to address global health issues.," Global Health Action, vol. 11(1), p. 1500764, 2018.
75. Y. S. S. &. S. S. V. Balarajan, "Health care and equity in India.," The Lancet, vol. 377(9764), pp. 505-515, 2011.
76. G. &. F. M. C. Dussault, "Not enough there, too many here: understanding geographical imbalances in the distribution of the health workforce.," Human Resources for Health, vol. 4(1), p. 12, 2006.
77. D. P. L. D. P. S. &. P. P. S. Kshirsagar, "Attitudes and practices about zoonotic diseases among livestock farmers in western Maharashtra, India.," Veterinary World, vol. 3(6), p. 263, 2010.
78. S. B. I. R. M. A. I. &. B. T. D. Bonu, "Hospitalisation patterns in rural India: an analysis of national sample survey data.," The Lancet, vol. 373(9666), pp. 2145-2152, 2009.
79. M. A. S. S. K. A. H. M. A. &. S. K. Kakkar, "Bioterrorism, food safety and international health security.," Bioterrorism, Springer., pp. 125-138, 2014.
80. D. G. A. &. C. O. Spears, "Open defecation and childhood stunting in India: an ecological analysis of new data from 112 districts.," PloS One, vol. 8(9), p. e73784, 2013.
81. Prüss-Ustün, "Burden of disease from inadequate water, sanitation and hygiene in low- and middle-income settings: a retrospective analysis of data from 145 countries."," 2014.
82. R. &. D. J. P. Fayer, "Zoonotic protozoa: from land to sea.," Trends in parasitology,, vol. 26(10), pp. 512-517, 2010.
83. V. &. C. S. Curtis, "Effect of washing hands with soap on diarrhoea risk in the community: a systematic review," The Lancet infectious diseases, vol. 3(5), pp. 275-281, 2003.
84. T. P. B. C. G. M. G. B. T. L. S. A. R. T. P. .. &. L. R. Van Boeckel, "Global trends in antimicrobial use in food animals.," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 112(18), pp. 5649-5654, 2015.
85. C. M. J. M. D. E. M. K. M. &. L. S. Caminade, "The impact of climate change on the geographical distribution of vector-borne diseases," The European Journal of Public Health, vol. 23(2), p. 706–712, 2012.
86. K. P. B. S. B. A. S. B. J. I. R. A. F. &. T. M. B. Paaijmans, "Influence of climate on malaria transmission depends on daily temperature variation.," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 107(34), p. 15135–15139, 2010.
87. J. S. E. W.-T. D. W. M. &. T. M. Zinsstag, " One Health: The theory and practice of integrated health approaches.," CABI Publishing, 2011.
88. P. K. E. P. J. H. M. &. C. A. J. Thornton, "Climate variability and vulnerability to climate change: A review.," Global Change Biology, vol. 20(11), p. 3313–3328, 2014.
89. F. B. L. K. D. P. D. A. H. C. D. &. H. R. D. Keesing, "Impacts of biodiversity on the emergence and transmission of infectious diseases," Nature, vol. 468(7324), pp. 647-652, 2010.
90. J. A. G. T. K. G. N. &. V. A. Y. Patz, "Effects of environmental change on emerging parasitic diseases.," International Journal for Parasitology, Vols. 30(12-13), pp. 1395-1405, 2000.
91. D. M. P. B. G. B. J. D. F. D. P. .. &. P. D. Destoumieux-Garzón, "The One Health concept: 10 years old and a long road ahead.," Frontiers in Veterinary Science, vol. 5, p. 14, 2018.
92. A. J. McMichael, "Insights from past millennia into climatic impacts on human health and survival.," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 109(13), pp. 4730-4737, 2012.
93. WHO, "One Health," 2017.
94. AVMA, "One Health: A New Professional Imperative," 2020.
95. P. &. G. S. Chadha, "Zoonotic Diseases in India. Biosecurity and Bioterrorism: Biodefense Strategy and Practice," Science, vol. 9(4), pp. 347-354, 2011.
96. G. o. I. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, "National Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance (NAP-AMR)," 2017.
97. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare Government of India, "National Centre for Disease Control, Directorate General of Health Services".

98. ICAR, "Indian Council of Agricultural Research".
99. FAO, "Food and Agriculture Organization".
100. IVRI, "Indian Veterinary Research Institute".
101. LHDC, "Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying," Animal Husbandry & Dairying, Government of India. Ministry of Fisheries.
102. F. i. P. Health, "Integrating human and animal health in Kerala, India," , 2019.
103. I. J. o. P. Health, "Preparedness and Control of Avian Influenza in West Bengal, India," 2010.
104. B. P. Health, "Surveillance of zoonotic diseases in humans and animals: a pilot study in Karnataka, India," 2015.
105. M. Anthropology, "Zoonoses in the backyard: what we can learn from the response to a rabies outbreak in Jaipur, India," 2019.
106. O. H. Outlook, "Policy review: the need for national and sub-national inter-sectoral coordination in India to combat zoonotic diseases," 2020.
107. B. D. &. G. D. Perry, "The impacts of livestock diseases and their control on growth and development processes that are pro-poor. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B," Biological Sciences, vol. 364(1530), p. 2643–2655, 2009.
108. L. H. K. B. &. M. T. P. (. Kahn, "One Health: The Human-Animal-Environment Interfaces in Emerging Infectious Diseases: Food Safety andSecurity, and International and National Plans for Implementation of One Health Activities," Springer, 2009.
109. G. o. I. MAFW, "National Livestock Mission: Operational Guidelines. New Delhi,," 2020.
110. FAO, "The FAO action plan on antimicrobial resistance 2016-2020.," 2011.
111. W. OIE, OIE Terrestrial Animal Health Code., 2016.
112. N. L. Mission, "Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare,," Government of India. , 2021.
113. M. K. F. J. &. M. L. T. Lapinski, "Recommendations for the role of social science research in One Health," Social Science & Medicine, vol. 187, pp. 20-25, 2018.
114. FAO, "Good practices for biosecurity in the pig sector: Issues and options in developing and transition countries," 2013.
115. W. Bank, "People, Pathogens and Our Planet: The Economics of One Health," 2012.
116. W. O. f. A. H. OIE, "Terrestrial Animal Health Code. Chapter 14: The control of foot and mouth disease," 2018.
117. V. Ravindran, "Zoonotic diseases of poultry.," CAB Reviews, vol. 12(040), pp. 1-15, 2017.
118. S. S. M. J. A. W. M. P. C. R. C. D. K. W. B. .. &. D. P. Morse, "Prediction and prevention of the next pandemic zoonosis," The Lancet, vol. 380(9857), pp. 1956-1965, 2012.
119. J. A. D. P. T. G. M. A. A. A. P. M. E. J. .. &. G. A. K. Patz, "Unhealthy landscapes: Policy recommendations on land use change and infectious disease emergence," Environmental Health Perspectives, vol. 112(10), pp. 1092-1098, 2014.
120. W. Bank, "People, Pathogens and Our Planet: Volume 1 - Towards a One Health Approach for Controlling Zoonotic Diseases.," World Bank, Washington, DC, 2019.
121. I. Scoones, "Livelihoods perspectives and rural development," The Journal of Peasant Studies, vol. 39(1), p. 1, 2012.
122. P. C. A. A. &. H. A. D. Daszak, "Anthropogenic environmental change and the emergence of infectious diseases in wildlife.," Acta Tropica, vol. 78(2), pp. 103-116, 2001.
123. M. R. E. T. A. S. S. C. S. D. T. N. H. S. S. .. &. D. P. Kakkar, "Acute encephalitis syndrome surveillance, Kushinagar district, Uttar Pradesh, India, 2011–2012.," Emerging Infectious Diseases, vol. 18(11), p. 1838, 2012.
124. J. A. D. P. T. G. M. A. A. A. P. M. E. J. .. &. C. E. Patz, "Unhealthy landscapes: Policy recommendations on land use change and infectious disease emergence.," Environmental Health Perspectives, vol. 112(10), pp. 1092-109, 2004.
125. K. M. C. S. Chatterjee P, "Integrating one health in national health policies of developing countries: India's lost opportunities," Infect Ecol Epidemiol, vol. 2016;6(1), p. 31578, 2017.
126. B. F. C. B. D. J. Chantziaras I, "Correlation between veterinary antimicrobial use and antimicrobial resistance in food-producing animals: a report on seven countries.," J Antimicrob Chemother., vol. 69(3), pp. 827-834, 2014.
127. F. J. M. L. Lapinski MK, "Recommendations for the role of social science research in One Health.," Soc Sci Med., vol. 129, pp. 51-60, 2015.
128. M. B. H. B. e. a. Rüegg SR, "A blueprint to evaluate one health," Front Public Health, vol. 2017;5, p. 20, 2017.
129. H. S. A. S. e. a. Kakkar M, "The rise in the frequency of infectious diseases in China should motivate China to invest in public health to avert disasters," 2011.
130. A. E. K. Y. e. a. Hui DS, "Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: risk factors and determinants of primary, household, and nosocomial transmission.," 2018.
131. e. a. Van Boeckel TP, "Global trends in antimicrobial use in food animals.," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 112(18), pp. 5649-5654., 2015.

132. L. E. McMichael AJ, "Climate change: present and future risks to health, and necessary responses," 2011.
133. E. D. M. E. S. C. M. L. E. G. &. M. M. Karimuribo, "The Use of Mobile Phones for Animal Disease Surveillance in Tanzania: A Case Study of the Southern Highlands Zone.," Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, vol. 63(6), pp. e137-e, 2016.
134. O. -. W. O. f. A. Health, "The OIE Strategy on Antimicrobial Resistance and the Prudent Use of Antimicrobials.," Vols. https://www.oie.int/en/for-the-media/press-releases/detail/article/the-oie-strategy-on-antimicrobial-res, 2013.
135. G. T. P. M. &. G. M. C. Keusch, "Research Interactions Between Humans and Animals: Challenges for the 'One Health' Paradigm," BMJ, vol. 339, p. b3929, 2009.
136. S. S. Morse, "Factors in the emergence of infectious diseases," Emerging infectious diseases, vol. 1(1), p. 7, 1995.
137. W. &. A. J. Hueston, "International approaches to emerging diseases and their impact on the United States.," Veterinary Clinics: Small Animal Practice, vol. 38(2), pp. 305-317, 2008.